1-18-08

Attention; BOF Comments Alaska Department of Fish and Game Boards of Support Section PO Box 115526 Juneau, Alaska 99811-5526

RECEIVED

JAN 18 2008

BOARDS

Department of Fish and Game,

Please find attached the 9 page response and comments from the Anchorage Advisory Committee concerning the Alaska Board of Fisheries 2007/2008 Proposed Changes to the Cook Inlet, Kodiak, and Chignik area finfish regulations.

We look forward to the February Meetings in Anchorage.

Bruce Morgan

Anchorage Advisory Board Member

Anchorage Advisory Board Response to Proposed Changes in Cook Inlet	2007/2008 Finfish Regulations	Take	No
Anchorage,	2007/2008 Fir		

	Notes	house keeping-puts EO into regulation	not in my back yard	not in my back yard	move more kings into Kenai River	move more kings into Kenai Ríver	not enough information	Coho are already on the decline	must rebuild Coho stock	.see #87	Makes no sense	would hurt silver run	would stop fish from moving north	department does not support, also conservation concern	Hurt Kasilof kings-already restricted	Hurt Kasilof kings-already restricted	Stay with old plan	hurt King and Coho return	hurt King and Coho return			affect kings moving North	affect kings moving North	drift fleet	Oppose set netters	Take no action							
<u>0</u>	Action	-	×	×			×										×							-			×	×					×
	Proposal # Support Oppose	×			×	×		×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×		×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×			×	×	×	×	
	Proposal #	73	74	75	9/	77	78	79	80	8	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	80	06	91	92	93	94	96	96	97	98	66	100	101	102	103	104

2 of 10.

A/C Comment#_

2000ct 105 106 107 X 108	t ×	×××	2	Oppose-makes no sense Oppose-would take more kings Support-maybe should give it a try-less boats in the water Oppose-no need to allocate more fish to set netters
112 113 113 113	× ·	××	×× ×	Support-more kings up the river-unanimous May not be legal-take no action Take no action-need more info Oppose-unfair to permit holders Move to Kodiak meeting-oppose-harmful to Cook Inlet
115 116 118	×	× ×	< ×	Oppose-worked hard to get current plan Support-unanimous more fish for Anchorage residents Take no action need more info Makes no sense, keep to current management plan
120 121 123 123 123	×××××		>	Support Support move sockeye up the rivers move sockeye up the rivers BOF cannot act on this-support unanimous, support the idea
125 127 128 130 130		××××××	<	l ake no action Oppose-to broad keep in individual plans Oppose keep in regulation Oppose keep old regulation Oppose keep old regulation Oppose keep old regulation Oppose keep old regulation
135 135 135 136 138 138	× . ××	< ××××		Suppose most roll regulators. Suppose most fish for Anchorage, 1 opposes-item (C) hard to obtain Oppose-would target Coho Oppose-worked hard to develop these plans Supports-trying to get more fish up the rivers Share the burden of conservation

3 07 10

A/C Comment#____

4 of 10

A/C Comment#_____

Take no action see 176

×

175

	support-need to try something new	Oppose-It is a missed stock fishery	X Take no action-need more info	X Take no action-need more info	Oppose-keep old plan	X Take no action	Oppose	X Take no action	Oppose-would put less fish up the Kenai	Oppose-would put less fish up the Kenai	Oppose-keep current plan	Oppose need windows for genetic diversity	Oppose-keep current plan	Oppose-keep current plan	Oppose-keep current plan	Oppose-keep current plan	Oppose need windows for genetic diversity	Oppose-keep current plan	Support-need to get fish into river 36 hours isn't enough time	Support-put fish in river 1st	Oppose-Allocate	X Take no action-unable to understand	Support-would be able to fish on smaller runs and not close d? river	Support more fish for Anchorage residents	Support more fish for Anchorage residents	Oppose- do not listi iti cottimercial in modul of mer anywhere								
		×			×					×		×	×	×	×	×	×		×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×		•	×				>	<
1	×			•						,																	×	×			×	×	×	
: !	176	177	178	179	180	181	182	183	184	185	186	187	188	189	190	191	192	193	194	195	196	197	198	199	200	201	202	203	204	205	206	207	208 208	203

5 05 10

A/C Comment#_

X Oppose-very small run X Oppose-unanimous-reduces the opportunity for Anchorage X Oppose-unanimous X Oppose-unanimous X Support-more opportunity for Anchorage residents Support-unanimous-good for the goose, good for the gander	A Oppose-no biological reason to support X Oppose-no biological reason to support	×			X take no action X Unanimous to oppose-not in my backyard 6-2-0 as written-in the middle of Dept. study-more info to come X Oppose-status quo-would change management strategies X Oppose	Support-unanimous-more opportunity for residents of Alaska to harvest fish only (4) places to land airplane in refuge, in 1984-1985 foot survey found 2600 kings but little info since then, Dept. does not support We support unanimously X Oppose-fish stocked lakes X Oppose-fish stocked lakes
× × >	·	×	×	×		××
212 212 213 214 215 415	217 218 219 220	227 222 223 223	224	226 227 228	23 232 232 233 233 234 236 236 237	234 235 236 236 237

6 of 10

A/C Comment#__

																				•											·			
Support-more regs consistence, will not have fishing over spawning rainbows	Oppose-bad policy to fish over spawning fish	Oppose-kept policy the same	Support-good fish management	Support-good fish management	Support-social issue, 2 oppose no biological concern for this	Oppose-would affect Kings, red and silvers	Oppose-enforceable	Take no action-all ready in force, you can not anchor in navigatable channel	Oppose-use current regs of 16" up and 18" down	Support-harvestable supplies	Support biological concern	Support similar to Matsu valley	Amend to thru the ice only	no brainier, need waiver for northern pixe to prevent wanton waste violation	Russ R. no problems reports, drift fishery now-oppose unanimously	youth fisheries but not in ADA-take no action	Fish under 20"-all (1) ocean males	20"-30" (2) ocean male and females	from 14"-34"	want harvest both comm. And sport match % historically of the run	Would go against the total harvest	talking about the late run only, something needs to be done, but what	Oppose-(1) ocean fish is 20" and under-covers all Cook Inlet	rare-take no action	rare-take no action	40% of (4) ocean 80% of (5) ocean	Oppose-stick with current plan	Oppose-early run already protected	Oppose-early run aiready protected	Support-Depart-housekeeping-unanimous	by regulations and management plan no bait above moose river-no action	Oppose-unanimous-start conservational	Oppose-94% of spawners already up their streams	Oppose-94% of spawners already up their streams
								×								×								×	×						×			
	×	×				×	×		×						×							×	×				×	×	×			×	×	×
×			×	×	×					×	×	×	×	×																×				
238	239	240	241	242	243	244	245	246	247	248	249	250	251	252	253	254	255				256	257	258	259	260	261	262	263	264	265	266	267	268	269

A/C Comment#______

7 of 10

9

A/C Comment#_

8 of 10

Support-good idea	Support-good idea	X Take no action see 307	Support-good idea	Oppose-restricts to much opportunity	oppose-allocate	Oppose	Oppose	support concept to stop illegal guide encourage BOF to consider ways to	deal with illegal guides	Support-reduces bank erosion-oppose(1)	X See 313-Take no action	Support-need to reduce congestion oppose (1)	Oppose-to broad, unnecessary	Support and oppose-split decision	See 315	Support/oppose-split decision	Support	Oppose- boats from Kenai would go to Kasilof	Oppose-Support/Split	Oppose-Support/Split	Oppose-allocate, increases harvest	Oppose-allocate, increases harvest	Oppose-hard to track and check guide books	Oppose	Support-housekeeping-will be modified, moving from DNR regs to ADF&G	Support Housekeeping	X Take no position-see 334	last 4 out of 6 years haven't met escapment, this is the dept. decision	Oppose-unanimous-run down use cautious approach, run is down for 2008	Oppose-unanimous-run down use cautious approach, run is down for 2008	Oppose-unanimous-run down use cautious approach, run is down for 2008			
				×	×	×	×						×			×		×	×	×	×	×	×	×					,			×	×	×
×	×		×					×		×		×		×	×	×	×		×	×					×	×					×			
304	305	306	307	308	308	310	311	312		313	314	315	316	317	318	319.	320	321	322	323	324	325	326	327	328	329	330	331	332	333	334	335	336	337

9 of 10

A/C Comment#_______

Oppose-unanimous-run down use cautious approach, run is down for 2008	Oppose-unanimous-streams fished heavily and not meeting escapement	Oppose-unanimous harmful to resident species	Oppose-unanimous heavily fished, numbers up and down	Oppose-unanimous would affect rainbow frout	X Take no action-not enough info	Oppose-unanimous-mile 32.5 no C&R down stream	Oppose unanimous already at max harvest rate	Oppose unanimous	Support but amend to an addition keep clean of clear water streams	Oppose-heavily fished and some stocks in trouble	Support 5-1 currently being over harvested	1 opposed-would close at best time of year to ice fish	Support unanimous	Support-unanimous would increase harvest or price	Support unanimous	X Take no action	X Take no action would impact rainbow over wintering	Oppose-stocking comes from Dingel-johnson sports fishing money,	PU has not paid for these fish	Oppose-stock currently in good shape	Oppose-biological issues, to many small streams with small runs.	
×	×	×	×	×		×	×	×		×								×		×	×	
>	<								×		×		×	×	×		•					
338	8 8 8 9	341	342	343	344	345	346	347	348	349	350		351	352	353	354	355	356		357	358	

10 05 10

A/C Comment#_

Mat-Valley Fish & Game Advisory Committee

Meeting Agenda For 1/09/08 7 PM at MTA Bldg-Palmer

Call to Order

Roll Call

RECEIVED

Ken	Barber	Present
Brian	Campbell	Present
Mark	Chryson (secretary)	Present
Andy	Couch	Present
Stephen	Darilek	Present
Bennett	Durgeloh	Present
Garrrit	Dykstra	Present
Ken:	Federico	Present
Bill	Folsom	Present
Denny	Hamann (chair)	Present
Patrick	O'Conner	Present
John	Otchek	Present
Tony	Russ (vice-chair)	Present
Max	Sager (alt)	Present
Lonnie	Stevens (alt)	Excused
Troy	Vincent	Present
Mark	Vingoe	Excused
	-	

9073768285

Recognize staff & guests

Large Public showing (75-100 people in attendance)

Bruce Knowles, USVFGAC chair

Tom Payton MtYenioAC chair

Wayne Kubat, Carl Grauvogel Past chairs MSVFGAC

Dave Rutz, Sam Ivy, Jeff Fox, Jeff Regnart Charles Swanton, Lisa Evans

Mark Neuman, Rep

Howard Delo BOF

Larry Engel

- Pass sign up sheet to speak
- Approve minutes of 01/02/08 Pat O'Conner seconded by Bennett Durgeloh, one change Federico was excused not absent

Calendar of events

 Upper Cook Inlet Finfish comments due by 1/18/08 (The BOF will hold meetings in Soldotna and Wasilla on 1/30/08)

> MVFGAC, January 9, 2008 Page 1 of 9

A/C COMMENT #

PAGE 03

- Comments for Statewide BOG due by 1/11/08
 To be faxed tonight
- Next meetings 1/23/08, 2/06/08 and 2/20/08 all at MTA
- Recreational Fish Stocking plan comments due by 1/21/08

New Business

Public testimony

DISCUSSION TO BE ADDED IN AMMENDED MINUTES

BOF Proposals:

(See sub committee minutes for comments attached)

1-7 fishery sub committee meeting votes, all unanimous except # 278

Motion to approve all unanimous vote proposals, to vote as the sub committee voted to reject the ones rejected, approve the ones approved and take no action on the one where no action was taken.

Motion made by Pat O'Conner and seconded by Bennett Durgeloh vote as subcommittee motion passed 15-0-0

The following proposals were approved by the sub committee and thus the committee:

Proposals 203, 205, 206, 207, 208, 260, 280 Were all approved

For proposal 259 please see 260

The following proposals were rejected by the sub committee and thus the committee

Proposals 172, 173, 174, 180, 258, 274, 275, 276, 316 Were all rejected

Proposal 181 no action was taken upon this.

Proposal 278 motion to approve 278 Motion made by Pat O'Conner and seconded by Bennett Durgeloh

cant allow "unintentional" amended to remove the word "unintentional" through out proposal. Made by Andy Couch seconded by Bennett Durgeloh Amendement approved 13-2-0

MVFGAC, January 9, 2008 Page 2 of 9 A/C COMMENT # 10

Amended Proposal passed 9-6-0

330

Comment by Dave Rutz... there will be snaggers and they will get kings and rainbows and other non targeted species.

(See minutes Fisheries sub committee Dec 3, 2007 attached)

Proposal

Motion to untable

Motion made by Pat O'Conner and seconded by Bennett Durgeloh

15-0 untabled

Dept comments want to change to reflect this years escapement, don't know when it will stabilize, it will have to be a limited fishery, don't know if dept will stand behind it. Most of the fish are interception fish should be a restricted fishery.

Amendment reads:

Amendment to

- 1. Reduce Northern District commercial and Central District commercial king salmon fishery back to pre Board of Fisheries 2005 level,
- 2. Reduce Sport fishing area for king salmon to an ADF&G marker approximately 1/4 mile upstream from the mouth of Alexander Creek,
- 3. Establish a 2 king salmon seasonal limit within one mile of the mouth of Alexander Creek.

Motion to accept amended 330,

Amendment passed 15-0-0

Motion to support amended proposal 330 passed 15-0-0

Motion to table 169 &171 Tabled 15-0-0

Motion to extend meeting 15 minutes

Motion to accept decisions of unanimous decisions for proposals 342-358 from minutes from Dec 3, 2007

Motion made by Pat O'Conner and seconded by Bennett Durgeloh

15-0-0

The following proposals were approved by the sub committee:

Proposals 342, 348, 351 353, 355 Were all approved

MVFGAC, January 9, 2008 COMMENT Page 3 of 9

The following proposals were rejected by the sub committee and thus the committee

Proposals 344, 345, 347, 354, 356, 357, 358 Were all rejected

9073768285

Proposal 346 was withdrawn.

Proposal 343

Motion made by Pat O'Conner and seconded by Bennett Durgeloh

Not many areas to fish in this area, would catch lots more rainbows Only a 2 week portion rainbows haven't entered the main stream yet

Proposal failed 2-13-0

Prop 349

Motion made by Pat O'Conner and seconded by Bennett Durgeloh Bait on big lake proposal failed 0 - 11 - 4

Prop 350

Motion made by Pat O'Conner and seconded by Bennett Durgeloh motion passed 8-4-3

Minutes will need to be approved by email vote.

Next meeting Jan 23, 2008 MTA building Palmer.

Meeting adjourned 10:15

January 14, 2008 Fisheries Sub Committee

January 14, 2008

Matanuska Valley AC Fisheries Subcommittee 3 p.m. -- 5:10 p.m.

present: Andy Couch, Bennett Durgelough, Lonnie Stevens, Troy Vincent, Sam Ivey -- ADF&G Palmer, Robert Begich -- ADF&G Kenai River trout biologist (by teleconference)

> MVFGAC, January 9, 2008 Page 4 of 9

...C COMMENT#

Goals identified by subcommittee for Kenai River trout management: Less torn up trout, minimize regulation impacts on salmon fishery, regulation consistency with lower and upper Kenai River, Abundance of trout, Retain large size trout Kenai River is know for

- 1. 4-0 Concensus: May 2 June 10 flowing waters of Kenai River closed to all fishing from Kenai Lake to Skilak Lake, and below Skilak Lake to Moose River closed to rainbow trout and Dolly Varden fishing. Our Advisory Committee would also suggest including Arctic grayling and lake trout as closed species in the area from Skilak Lake to Moose River to further reduce the loophole of incidentally catching rainbow and dolly varden while supposedly "fishing," for another species during the closed period.
- 2. 4-0 Concensus: We support having the same size limitations for Dolly Varden and rainbow trout throughout all flowing waters of the Kenai River.
- 3. 4-0 Concensus: We oppose all the additional proposed hook restrictions, because of their impact on fishing for other species of fish, and also because of their impact on people who may not be very good anglers and may catch few fish even with a barbed, multiple, or large hook. We would certainly encourage people wanting to minimize their impact when rainbow trout and dolly varden fishing to voluntarily use barbless hooks whenever they choose.
- 4. 4-0 Concensus: We support prohibiting removing rainbow trout from the water during the spawning closure on the Kenai River.
- 5. 4-0 Concensus: Proposal 246 We oppose an anchoring restriction at the area below Skilak Lake -- listed closure dates would be too long. The proposed regulations would also be too restrictive on people that would like to fish from an anchor boat, and may pull off to the side of a channel to anchor.
- 6. 4-0 Concensus We support proposals 250 and 251 allowing liberalizations for northern pike fishing on the Kenai Peninsula.
- 7. 4-0 Concensus if the Board of Fisheries were to adopt proposal 278: We would suggest allowing the retention of snagged sockeye salmon within the Kenai and Kasiloff Rivers in areas where the impact on other fish species and fisheries would be minimized.

Matanuska Valley AC -Fisheries Subcommittee notes from Monday Dec. 3, 2007 meetting at ADF&G conference room in Palmer. Recorded by Andy Couch

160. 0-4-0 We oppose this proposal because current ADF&G forecasts and protections within the Central District Drift Gillnet Fishery Management Plan are inadequate to meet Northern District sockeye salmon spawning escapement goals as seen in Yentna River sonar sockeye salmon escapement counts. This proposal would remove some of the already inadequate protections within this management plan. Increased management flexibility controlled entirely by the commercial manager often results in missing or barely achieving the escapement goal and little or no surplus fish for harvest by Northern District or inviver users.

MVFGAC, January 9, 2008 Page 5 of 9

- 161-162. Oppose 0-4-0; We oppose the idea of removing specific language from management plans which was originally put into the plans to provide protections for Northern bound salmon stocks. Since ADF&G has failed to meet the Yentna River sockeye salmon escapement goals 2 out of the past 3 years and since ADF&G has only met the midpoint of the Yentna River sockeye salmon escapement goal once in the past 10 years, specific language to protect Yentna sockeye should be strengthened rather than removed.
- 164. Support 4-0-0 We support the concept of using specific management language in management plans throughout the entire season.
- 166. Oppose 0-4-0. We oppose the concept of management to stay under the top end of a spawning escapement goal range without consideration to the impacts on other salmon stocks, other salmon species, and other user groups. Maximizing the harvest of one user group should not come at the expense of salmon escapement needs and viable harvest opportunities for other user groups.
- 167, 168. Oppose 0-4-0. Maximizing commercial sockeye harvest at the expense of personal use fisheries, sport fisheries, and escapements of other salmon stocks is unacceptable.
- 169. Tabled
- 170. Oppose 0-4-0 Maximizing commercial harvests should always be subject to established escapement goals and also to harvest need of other user groups.
- 171. No Action. We support the concept of having sport language in sport regulations rather than commercial regulations, but don't understand if there would be other unforseen impacts.

There was consensus with fisheries subcommittee members that we request the Matanuska Valley AC Chairman invite the ADF&G Genetic scientists to a meeting so the committee could ask specific questions about the newly issued Sockeye salmon genetics report.

Sport Fishing

- ****330. Amendment to 1. Reduce Northern District commercial and Central District commercial king salmon fishery back to pre Board of Fisheries 2005 level, 2. Reduce Sport fishing area for king salmon to an ADF&G marker approximately 1/4 mile upstream from the mouth of Alexander Creek, 3. Establish a 2 king salmon seasonal limit within one mile of the mouth of Alexander Creek. 4. Amendment passed 5-0. Amended proposal passed 5-0.
- 139, 331, 332, 333, 334 -- No Action see 330.
- #335 Subcommittee voted to withdraw this proposal. 5-0
- 336 Subcommittee voted to withdraw this proposal 5-0
- 337. Amendment passed 4 0 Motion passed as amended 3-1

AC COMMENT# 10

MVFGAC, January 9, 2008 Page 6 of 9 Amend to continue adjusting Deshka River king salmon bag limit by emergency order, however ADF&G shall issue Deshka River emergency orders by February 1 (date suggested by Palmer office ADF&G staff)

when based on Deshka River weir data from the previous year.

9073768285

Emergency orders based on inseason data may continue to occur during the summer fishery.

At a November 2007 Matanuska Valley AC fisheries subcommittee meeting, ADF&G staff informed the subcommittee that the most recent Deshka River weir data shows permanently adjusting the bag limit to 2 king salmon per day likely could result in failure to meet the Deshka River king salmon goal over the next few years. Matanuska Valley AC is supporting informing the public as early as possible, whenever the fishery will be expanded or reduced.

- 338 Supported 4-1
- 339. Opposed 1-3
- 340. Opposed 1-3
- 341. Opposed 1-2-1

END OF PROPOSALS CONSIDERED AT DEC. 19, 2007 MATANUSKA VALLEY AC MEETING.

342. Supported 4-0. If there are enough coho salmon to expand the Northern District set net fishery out of the restrictions in the Coho salmon conservation plan as was done by the Board of Fisheries at the

2005 meeting, then there should also be enough coho salmon to provide a 3 coho salmon sport limit as was the regulation before implementation of the coho salmon conservation plan. If there are not enough surplus harvestable coho salmon for both user groups, then both user groups should go back to the restrictions prior to the 2005 Board of Fisheries meeting. We would also like ADF&G to do a bag limit analysis.

- 343. Opposed 1-3.
- 344. Oppose 0-4.
- 345. Opposed 0-4
- 346. Proposal mistakenly submitted it had not passed committee. Committee votes to withdraw. 4-0.
- 347. Opposed 0-4.
- 348. Supported 4-0. Our own committee proposal about Eklutna king salmon.
- 349. Opposed 1-1-2.

A/C COMMENT# 10

- 350. Supported 3-1. Would reduce Big Lake burbot limit to 2 fish and establish a closed season when no retetion would be allowed.
- 351. Supported 4-0. Would reduce Big Lake burbot limit to 2 fish -- season would remain open entire year.
- 352. Supported 4-0. Expanding pike regulation lakes.

9073768285

- 353. Supported 4-0. Expanding Shell lake pike fishery.
- 354. Opposed, 0-4. Expansion of pike regulations -- subcommitte felt this proposal was too liberal.
- 355. Allow Bait and no bag limit for pike on Deshka, Yentna, and Susitna Rivers Nov. 1- April 15. Amended to hook size of 6/0 for singles and 1/0 for trebles. Amendment passed 4-0. Proposal passed 4-0.
- 356. Opposed 0-4. Too liberal. Would establish personal use salmon fisheries.
- 357. Opposed 0-4. Establish sport limit for hooligan. Not needed in Susinta River especially if we have enough fish for an inriver commercial fishery.
- 358. Opposed 0-4. Would establish too liberal of personal use salmon gill net fishery near Beluga,
- Jan. 7, 2008 Fisheries subcommittee -- 2p.m. at ADF&G conference room

Max Sager, Tony Russ, Lonnie Stevens, Bennet Durgelogh, Steve Runyan, Andy Couch, Ken Federico Bruce Knowles, Sam Ivey, Dave Rutz, Chris Brockman, Howard Delo (arrived later)

- 172 &173. 0-6 Rejected. Would provide language seeking to limit use of the Kasilof special harvest area, by providing more liberal commercial fishing before using the special harvest area. Harvseting within the special harvest area limits harvest of less abundant salmon stocks headed to other river systems.
- 174. 0-6 Rejected. Would eliminate the Kasilof Special Harvest Area. We would like to see continued use of the special harvest area, however, the harvest area should be used in such a way as to reduce conflicts with the sport and personal use fisheries. Such as 24 hour notice before the harvest area is utilized by the commercial fishery or dividing the personal use and commercial fisheries to separate fishing hours and or days. For instance Saturday should be reserved for the personal use fishery.
- 180. 0-6 Rejected. Proposal would eliminate Kasilof Salmon Management Plan.
- 181. No Action

MVFGAC, January 9, 2008 Page 8 of 9

A/G COMMENT#_

- 203. Approved 6-0 Late Run Kenai River Sockeye salmon management and Umbrella plan changes. This proposal would restrict the commercial fishery to one period per week prior to July 20 or until ADF&G makes an inseason sockeye estimate.
- 205. Approved 6-0 Revise Upper Cook Inlet Management Plan so all users get equal share of the resource. Equal authority to sport fish and commercial divisions of ADF&G in managing the resource. We would like to see more equal harvest opportunities for sport and personal use fisheries during July and August. In times of high escapement sport and personal use fisheries should be expanded by emergency order to harvest fish. Midrange or above should be the inriver targets that the ADF&G commercial fish division shoots for in all escapement ranges throughout Upper Cook Inlet.
- 206. Approved 6-0 Would Allow a lower sockeye salmon sport fish limit rather than closing the Kenai River sport fishery entirely during times of sockeye shortages.
- 207. Approved 6-0 Would allow Alaska Department of Fish and Game Commissioner to increase the sport bag limit to 12 sockeye and personal use fishery limit to 35 per household on the Kenai River during times of sockeye abundance of a return exceeding 4 million sockeye.
- 208. Approved 6-0. Would allow an increase in the sport fish bag limit for sockeye to 9 and increase in the possession limit for sockeye to 18 in times of high abundance on the Kenai River.
- 258. Rejected 0-6-0 Would increase the size of jack king salmon to less than 25 inches.

259 see 260.

- 260. Approved 6-0 Would allow retention of fin clipped king salmon without counting as one of the two fish seasonal limit on the Kenai River.
- 274. 0-6-0 Rejected. Would reduce closed waters to commercial fishing near the Kenai River. Allowing commercial harvest in this area could reduce harvest of Northern Bound salmon stocks, but would also impact Kenai sport and personal use fisheries. There would need to be provisions protecting sport and personal use harvest opportunities before we could support this proposal.
- 275, 276, 277. 0-6-0 Rejected. Would set more restrictive limits on nonresidents. At times there is an abundance of some salmon species -- and certainly no biological need for the proposed limits.
- 278. 4-2-0 Approved Allowing retention of snagged sockeye in Kasilof, Kenai, and Russian River.
- 280. 6-0 Approved. Increases coho limit to 3 fish in Upper Cook Inlet freshwaters. Commercial opportunities to harvest coho in the Northern District were increased at the 2005 Board of fisheries meeting. If there are enough coho to remove the restrictions on the Northern District commercial fishery, then there are also enough coho for the sport fishery to return to a 3 coho limit. ADF&G has emergency order authority to adjust sport fish limit during years with low returns.
- 316. 0-5-1 Rejected. Would limit Upper Cook Inlet sport fishing guides to one group of guests per day.

MVFGAC, January 9, 2008 Page 9 of 9 A/C COMMENT# 10

Susitna Valley Advisory Committee Board of Fisheries Voter Record & Comments

01/14/2008

Proposal 74: 7-0-0 Support- Cook Inlet is the only salmon fishery that allows spotter aircraft. Spotter aircraft can be disastrous to migrating salmon by allowing commercial fishing boat to stay on top of a pod of salmon.

Proposal 77: no action

Proposal 78:0-7-0 Oppose-

Proposal 79: 0-7-0 Oppose

Proposal 80: no action

Proposal 81: no action

Proposal 87: 7-0-0 Support -Committee supports with the amendment to redefine the transition from sockeye salmon to coho salmon. It is essential that coho management start as soon as their numbers increase.

Proposal 88: 0-7-0 Oppose-Allows more commercial fishing time and will negatively affects Mat-Valley

Proposal 90: 0-7-0 Oppose-lengthens commercial fishing time and it could effectively increase the harvest of coho salmon by as much as a third.

Proposal 91: 0-7-0 Oppose-Extremely detrimental to the Mat-Valley these restrictions are to move sockeye salmon and coho salmon in the Northern District.

Proposal 92: 0-7-0 Oppose-Committee feels this will scrap a well developed Kenai River coho salmon management plan.

Proposal 93: 0-7-0 Oppose- By reduces the trigger point it allows more commercial fishing fishing time.

Proposal 94: 0-7-0 Oppose-We feel that opening set net fishery earlier is a bad idea since the genetics study shows a large number of Northern District sockeye salmon. Pass through this area during this time frame.

Proposal 95: Neutral; according to the Department Biologist he would manage this fishery so that it would benefit commercial fishermen he said that the whiners would just

of 4 A/C Commen

have to live with it. No matter what we do, by saving E.O. time he would be able to fish part of a day Saturday or Sunday.

Proposal 96: 0-7-0 Oppose-This could increase harvest of northern bound salmon by 1/3 or more.

Proposal 97: 0-7-0 Oppose- T his is just another attempt to harvest more northern bound salmon. Until studies genetic studies, harvest data reports, return data on streams in Northern District streams to include Turnagain Arm, Knik Arm and the Susitna River.

Proposal 98-99: 0-7-0 Oppose- Gives more fishing time closer in thusly allowing commercial fishermen more time to catch Northern bound salmon. The department continues to say that we don't have a problem with our salmon that our numbers are good!

Proposal 100-101: 0-7-0 Oppose-This calls for a greater harvest of northern bound king salmon, earlier opening will be during the time period that Northern District king salmon transiting this area, and will also increase their cap on King Salmon

Proposal 102: 0-7-0 Oppose- Monofilament has a history has a wasteful material allowing a larger than average number of drop outs.

Monofilament has a history has a wasteful material allowing a larger than average number of drop outs.

Proposal 103: 0-7-0 Oppose-

Proposal 104: 0-0-7 Neutral-Committee believes this material allows for a wasteful fishery and needs to be stopped

Proposal 105-109: 0-7-0 Oppose-These proposals will allow for great harvest by increasing the depth of the nets will allow for harvest of more kings and the larger the net the more salmon that will be harvested.

Proposal 110: 0-0-7 Natural

Proposal 111: 0-7-0 Oppose-This proposal would end up with more Northern bound fish being caught as our fish are at further out from the beach.

Proposal 112: 0-7-0 Oppose- The current program that was established to bring stability to Cook Inlet salmon fishing and has been very successful.

Proposal 113: 0-7-0 Oppose- Anytime that the stability of commercial fisheries removed serious problems can occur.

Proposal 114: 7-0-0 Support-

2 of 4 A/C Comment#

Proposal 115: 0-7-0 Oppose- This is another attempt to return to the "good old days" commercial interest are just trying to increase their harvest.

Proposal 116: 7-0-0 Support

Proposal 117: 7-0-0 Support-It is our belief that going with wild stocks is a good idea

Proposal 118: 0-7-0 Oppose-Against the increase of commercial fishing time

Proposal 119: 7-0-0 Support-We support the identification of Northern bound stocks as stocks of concern

Proposal 120: 7-0-0 Support- Chum Salmon should be made of stock of concern the harvest numbers have dropped dramatically lower.

Proposal 121-122: 7-0-0 Support-It is time that the largest users groups in Cook Inlet are given their proper allocations. New Federal and State studies show that these groups have the largest financial impacted from our salmon resources.

Proposal 123: 6-0-1 Support-Eliminate stock program on Big Lake the department has admitted that this stocking program has destroyed the native run. They are counting both wild and hatchery stocks for the annual escapement goals. A department

Proposal 133-137: 0-7-0 Oppose- These proposals are trying to gut an already modified Northern District Salmon Management Plan. This plan is mint to move Northern District stocks into the Northern District.

Proposal 138: 7-0-0 Support- The Northern District Salmon Management Plan was modified at the last Cook Inlet Board of Fisheries hearings. These changes made this management plan less effective in moving stocks through the central district.

Proposal 140: 7-0-0 Support-The Yentna River priority is supposed to ensure that the Yentna River Escapement Goals are made, even if the Kenai River the upper end of the escapement goals has to be exceeded!

Proposal 141and142: 0-7-0 Oppose-This change would allow the harvest of Northern District coho salmon, since cohos are the predominate species this late in the year. Alaska Department of Fish and Game Sportfishing Division has already stated that they will not support any proposals that will increase coho bag limit, because of flood damage that was done in 2006! They are forecasting low coho salmon returns of Susitna River drainage.

Proposal 143-144: 0-7-0 Oppose-Allowing extra fishing time for the East side set netters to fish could cause damage sever damage to Northern District stocks!



Proposal 145-152: 0-7-0 Oppose-There is a conflict between the two divisions within the Alaska Department of Fish and Game. Commercial Fishing Division has stated publicly that there no problem with Northern District king salmon stocks, the Sports Fish Division says that seven king salmon are below their escapement point, six are at or just above their escapement point and only one above it's escapement point! They are concurred about the king done to the spawning grounds by the 2006 floods.

Proposal 153: 0-0-7 Abstain

Proposal 154-159: 0-7-0 Oppose-We feel that this is a disguised way to harvest more Kenai River cohos. Cohos are the predominate salmon returning at this time

Proposal 160: 0-7-0 Oppose- This would allow commercial fisherman to fish whenever they wanted, the Inlet would be wide open.

Proposal 163: 7-0-0 Support-This proposal is trying to pass more salmon stocks into the Northern District.

Proposal 339: 0-4-3 Oppose-This proposal has been brought before the Board at least twice before, the majority of the king in the Deshka River at this time are not good to eat. There a few late kings coming be you would have several red kings to find a good one!

These are all the proposals that we had time to complete. We are going to try and have one more meting before the Board of Fisheries. I will bring the additional work with me to the hearings. Do I need to fax these to you?

Thank you

Thomas B. Knowles Chairman Susitna Valley Advisory Committee 907-495-4965 home 907-232-5873 cell

A/C Comment#____

4 of 4

Jan 11 2008 2:34PM

Cooper Landing Fish and Game Advisory Committee Meeting January 9, 2008

The Alaska Board Of Fisheries

.Board of Fisheries Upper Cook Inlet proposals, review and comments.

- 7. Continue review and comment of Upper Cook Inlet fish proposals
 - Andy moves to group 80-86 fish proposals, Billy seconds motion
 - Andy moves to vote on 80, Robert seconds motion
 - Vote on proposal 80: 1 in favor, 9 opposed-fine the way it is
 - No action taken on 81-86 based on 80. As an AC we feel there should be no windows and dates are fine
 - Open discussion on 154- 10 opposed because "pink salmon" is a Trojan horse, no action taken on 155-159 because of 154
 - Open discussion on 187: all opposed because sockeye needs to be managed for sportfishing. No action taken on 188, 204, 118, 189, 190, 192, 193, 195, 200, 194, 196, 197, 198, 199, 201, 203, 205, 209 because of action on 187

RECEIVED

JAN 1 1 2008

ANCHORAGE