Interim Report to the Alaska Board of Game on Intensive Management for Moose with Wolf, Black Bear, and Grizzly Bear Predation Control in Game Management Unit 19A

Prepared by the Division of Wildlife Conservation August 2014



- 1) Description of IM Program¹ and Department recommendation for reporting period
- A) This report is an <u>interim</u> evaluation for a predation control program authorized by the Alaska Board of Game (Board) under 5 AAC 92.123
- B) Month this report was submitted by the Department to the Board:

February (annual report) August X (interim annual update) Year 2014

- C) **Program name**: Unit 19A wolf and bear predation control program (Fig. 1)
- D) Existing program has an associated Operational Plan
- E) Game Management Unit(s) fully or partly included in IM program area: Unit 19A
- F) IM objectives for moose population size 7,600–9,300 harvest 400–550
- G) Month and year the current predation control program was originally authorized by the Board: March 2004. Indicate date(s) if renewed: March 2009; February 2014
- H) Predation control is currently active in this IM area.
- I) If active, month and year the <u>current</u> predation control program began: <u>December 2004</u> for wolves July 2012 (regulatory year 2012) for bears
- J) A habitat management program funded by the Department or from other sources is currently active in this IM area: No
- K) Size of IM program area (square miles) and geographic description: <u>Unit 19A is 10,048 mi²</u>
- L) Size and geographic description of area for assessing ungulate abundance: The Wolf Control Focus Area (WCFA) is 3,905 mi²; Unit 19A Bear Control Focus Area (BCFA) is 534 mi²
- M) Size and geographic description of area for ungulate harvest reporting: \underline{WCFA} is 3,905 mi^2
- N) Size and geographic description of area for assessing predator abundance: WCFA is 3,905 mi²; Unit 19A Bear Control Focus Area (BCFA) is 534 mi²
- O) Size and geographic description of predation control area: WCFA is 3,905 mi² for wolves BCFA; 534 mi² for bears

¹ For purpose and context of this report format, see *Intensive Management Protocol*, section on Tools for Program Implementation and Assessment

- P) Criteria for evaluating progress toward IM objectives: moose abundance and harvest
- **Q)** Criteria for success with this program: <u>BCFA abundance=2.0 moose/mi² (~1,100 moose);</u> and WCFA harvest=120 moose
- R) Department recommendation for IM program in this reporting period: Continue program (details provided in section 6)




Figure 1. Unit 19A intensive management area and wolf and bear control focus areas.

2) Prey data

Date(s) and method of most recent abundance assessment for moose: March 2014-Goespatial moose population estimate (GSPE) in WCFA

Compared to IM area, was a similar trend and magnitude of difference in abundance observed in nearby non-treatment area(s) since program inception: Non-treatment area not established

Date(s) of most recent age and sex composition survey: <u>November 2011, east-west line</u> transects in Holitna-Hoholitna Drainages

Compared to IM area, was a similar composition trend and magnitude of difference in composition observed in nearby non-treatment area(s) since program inception:

Non-treatment area not established

Table 1. Moose abundance, age and sex composition in Wolf Control Focus Area (<u>WCFA</u>) or Bear Control Focus Area (BCFA) since program implementation in year 1 to year 10. Regulatory year is 1 July to 30 June (e.g. RY 2013 is 1 July 2013 to 30 June 2014).

regulator y	year is real	, to 20 bane (e.g, 111 2012 is 1 baily 20	110 00 00 0	une zor	• / •
			Composition (number p		
	Regulatory		$100 \text{ females})^2$		$(s)^2$
Period	Year	Abundance (variation) ¹	Calves	Males	Total <i>n</i>
Year 1	2004	1085 moose (± 17%; 90% CI)			
Year 2	2005		24	8	307
Year 3	2006				
Year 4	2007	1703 moose (± 28%; 90% CI)	45	35	200
Year 5	2008		27	34	124
Year 6	2009		36	51	129
Year 7		962 moose (± 18% at 90% CI)	19	48	212
	2010	1,666 moose (± 36% 90% CI) w/scf			
Year 8	2011		31	38	164
Year 9	2012				
Year 10^3		798 moose (±13.6% at 90% CI)	50	55	244
	2013	w/scf			

February-March GSPE surveys (observed moose, not corrected for sightability unless denoted w/scf [with sightability correction factor]).

Describe trend in abundance or composition: <u>No detectable trend in moose abundance within the WCFA</u>

²November line transect surveys; 2005 composition survey conducted in a larger geographic area than other years.

³GSPE conducted in BCFA only

Table 2. Moose harvest in Wolf Control Focus Area (<u>WCFA</u>) since program implementation in year 1 to year 10. Regulatory year is 1 July to 30 June (e.g, RY 2013 is 1 July 2013 to 30 June 2014).

	Regulatory	Reported Harvest		Total	Other	
Period	Year	Male	Female	harvest	mortality ^a	Total
Year 1	2004	37		37		37
Year 2	2005	42		42		42
Year 3	2006	1 ^b		1	0	1
Year 4	2007	2 ^b		2	0	2
Year 5	2008	1 ^b		1	4	5
Year 6	2009	1 ^b		1	1	2
Year 7	2010	3 ^b		3	0	3
Year 8	2011	2 ^b		2	2	4
Year 9	2012	2 ^b		2	0	2
Year 10	2013	3		2	1	3

^aMortuary harvest; other permitted harvest

Describe trend in harvest: Declined due to hunting season closure in most of the WCFA

Describe any other harvest related trend if appropriate: None

3) Predator data

Wolves

Date(s) and method of most recent spring abundance assessment for wolves in the WCFA: April 2014, private pilot interviews and state pilot observations

Date(s) and method of most recent fall abundance assessment for wolves in the WCFA: April 2014, calculated for fall 2013 by adding total removal from WCFA to spring 2014 abundance estimate

Other research or evidence of trend or abundance status in wolves: <u>Pre-control wolf</u> estimate was modeled at 75–100 wolves in the WCFA

^bHunting season closed, except within the Lime Village Management Area

Table 3. Wolf abundance and removal in Wolf Control Focus Area (WCFA) since program implementation in year 1. Removal objective is to reduce wolf numbers as low as possible in the WCFA and to maintain 30-36 in all of Unit 19A to ensure wolves persist in the unit. The fall RY 2012 modeled wolf population estimate for all of Unit 19A is 87-117. Regulatory year is 1 July to 30 June (e.g., RY 2012 is 1 July 2012 to 30 June 2013).

			Harvest removal		Dept.	Public		
	Regulatory	Fall			control	control	Total	Spring
Period	Year	abundance ^a	Trap	Hunt	removal	removal	removal	abundance
Year 1	2004		3	0	0	40	43	
Year 2	2005	44–46	2	0	0	36	38	5–7 ^b
Year 3	2006		0	0	0	7	7	
Year 4	2007	27	0	3	0	12	15	12 ^b
Year 5	2008		1	0	0	19	20	
Year 6	2009		0	0	0	2	2	
Year 7	2010	30	1	0	0	10	11	19 ^b
Year 8	2011	21	0	0	0	8	8	13°
Year 9	2012	24	2	0	0	0	2	22°
Year 10	2013	32	2	0	0	6	8	24 ^c

^a Calculated by adding total removal to WCFA spring abundance during each RY.

Black Bears

Date(s) and method of most recent spring abundance assessment for black bears in the BCFA: May 2013, based on removal estimator.

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} \textbf{Date}(s) and method of most recent fall abundance assessment for black bears in the BCFA: \\ \underline{None} \end{tabular}$

Other research or evidence of trend or abundance status in black bears: Estimated population of 2,500–3,000 black bears in Unit 19A and 135–160 total bears in the BCFA prior to removal. Estimator is based on known bear densities in similar habitats in other game management units in Interior Alaska. MILLER S., G.C. WHITE, R.A. SELLERS, H.V. REYNOLDS, J.W. SCHOEN, K. TITUS, V.G. BARNES, JR., R.B. SMITH, R.R. NELSON, W.B. BALLARD, AND C.C. SCHWARTZ. 1997. Brown and black bear density estimation in Alaska using radiotelemetry and replicated mark-resight techniques. *Wildlife Monographs* 133. *in* BOUDREAU T.A. 2005. Units 19, 21A and 21E black bear management report. Pages 218–222 in C. Brown, editor. Black bear management report of survey and inventory activities 1 July 2001–30 June 2004. Alaska Department of Fish and Game. Project 17.0. Juneau, Alaska.

^bAbundance based on aerial reconnaissance survey.

^cAbundance based on private and department pilot observations.

Table 4. Black bear abundance and removal in Bear Control Focus Area (BCFA) since bear control was implemented in Year 9. Removal objective is to reduce bear numbers as low as possible within the BCFA. The spring RY 2012 estimated black bear population for all of Unit 19A is 2,500–3,000. Regulatory year is 1 July to 30 June (e.g. RY 2013 is 1 July 2013 to 30 June 2014).

					De	pt.		
		Spring	Harvest		Harvest control			
	Regulatory	abundance	removal		removal remov		Total	Fall
Period	Year	(95% CI)	FA ^a	SP^{b}	FA	SP	removal	abundance
Year 9	2012	92–102 ^c	0	1	0	84 ^d	85	
Year 10	2013		0	1		54	54	

^aFall

Brown Bears

Date(s) and method of most recent spring abundance assessment for brown bears in the BCFA: May 2012, modeled based on known bear densities in similar habitats.

 $\label{eq:Date} \textbf{Date}(s) \ \textbf{and} \ \textbf{method} \ \textbf{of} \ \textbf{most} \ \textbf{recent} \ \textbf{fall} \ \textbf{abundance} \ \textbf{assessment} \ \textbf{for} \ \textbf{brown} \ \textbf{bears} \ \textbf{in} \ \textbf{the} \\ \textbf{BCFA:} \ \underline{None}$

Other research or evidence of trend or abundance status in black bears: Estimated population of 200 brown bears in Unit 19A is based on known bear densities in similar habitats in other game management units in Interior Alaska. MILLER S., G.C. WHITE, R.A. SELLERS, H.V. REYNOLDS, J.W. SCHOEN, K. TITUS, V.G. BARNES, JR., R.B. SMITH, R.R. NELSON, W.B. BALLARD, AND C.C. SCHWARTZ. 1997. Brown and black bear density estimation in Alaska using radiotelemetry and replicated mark-resight techniques. *Wildlife Monographs* 133. *in* BOUDREAU T.A. 2005. Units 19, 21A and 21E black bear management report. Pages 218–222 in C. Brown, editor. Black bear management report of survey and inventory activities 1 July 2001–30 June 2004. Alaska Department of Fish and Game. Project 17.0. Juneau, Alaska.

^bSpring

^cIndependent bears

^dIncludes one bear killed but not recovered

Table 5. Brown bear abundance and removal in Bear Control Focus Area (BCFA) since bear control was implemented in Year 9. Removal objective is to reduce bear numbers as low as possible within the BCFA. The Spring RY 2012 estimated brown bear population for all of Unit 19A is 200. Regulatory year is 1 July to 30 June (e.g. RY 2013 is 1 July 2013 to 30 June 2014).

					D	ept.		
		Spring	Har	vest	COI	ntrol		
	Regulatory	abundance	rem	oval	rem	noval	Total	Fall
Period	Year	(95% CI)	FA ^a	SP^b	FA	SP	removal	abundance
Year 9	2012	10–15 ^c	0	0	0	5	5	
Year 10	2013		0	0		10	10	

^a Fall

4) Habitat data and nutritional condition of prey species

Where active habitat enhancement is occurring or was recommended in the Operational Plan, describe progress toward objectives: No active habitat enhancement.

Table 6. Nutritional indicators for moose in Wolf Control Focus Area (WCFA) since program implementation. Regulatory year is 1 July to 30 June (e.g, RY 2013 is 1 July 2013 to 30 June 2014).

		Twinning Rate	Twinning Rate
		(% of radiocollared	(% of uncollared
	Regulatory	cows with calf that	cows with calf that
Period	Year	had twins) (n)	had twins) (n)
Year 1	2004	43 (7)	
Year 2	2005	-	
Year 3	2006		64 (11)
Year 4	2007		75 (4)
Year 5	2008	-	
Year 6	2009	1	
Year 7	2010	1	
Year 8	2011	-	
Year 9	2012	54 (26)	60 (15)
Year 10	2013	70 (27)	48 (27)

^b Spring

^c Based on known bear densities in similar habitats

5) Costs specific to implementing Intensive Management

Table 7. Cost (\$1000 = 1.0) of agency salary based on estimate of proportional time of field level staff and cost of operations for intensive management activities (e.g., predator control or habitat enhancement beyond normal Survey and Inventory work) performed by personnel in the Department or work by other state agencies (e.g., Division of Forestry) or contractors in Unit 19A during years 7-10. Fiscal year (FY) is also 1 July to 30 June but the year is one greater than the comparable RY (e.g, FY 2010 is 1 July 2009 to 30 June 2010).

	Fiscal	Predation control ^a		Other IM	activities	Total IM	Research
Period	Year	Time ^b	Cost ^c	Time	Cost	cost	$\cos t^{d}$
Year 7	2011	0.4	3.5	5.2	47.2	50.7	0.0
Year 8	2012	0.5	3.9	2.0	31.8	35.7	0.0
Year 9	2013	9.7	408.7	2.0	29.2	437.9	0.0
Year 10	2014	17.3	260.3	0.6	41.8	302.1	0.0

^aState or private funds only.

6) Evaluation (August 2014) for program renewal following Year 9 and Department recommendations for Unit 19A

Has progress toward defined criteria been achieved?No	
Has achievement of success criteria occurred?No	
Recommendation for IM program [choose one]: Continue	

Rationale for recommendation on overall program: <u>The second of 2 years of bear control was completed in spring 2014</u>. Calf survival appears to have improved. Continued predation reduction is needed to enhance moose recovery.

Other recommendations (if continuation is recommended, specific actions on individual practices): Program was modified to include bear control during spring 2013 and 2014. We concluded the department bear control effort in spring 2014. We recommend continuing aerial wolf control as moose recover. Program was recently modified during the Feb 2014 Board meeting by providing option for department bear control; continuing public wolf control; establishing population criteria of 2.0 moose/mi² within the BCFA; establishing harvest criteria of 120 moose from within the WCFA; and evaluating harvest from within the WCFA.

^bPerson-months (22 days per month)

^cSalary plus operations

^dSeparate from implementing IM program but beneficial for understanding of ecological or human response to management treatment (scientific approach that is not unique to IM).