

HIGHLIGHTS
FROM WORKSHOP
ONE IN ANCHORAGE.
DEC. 5+6, 2015

ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES
OF WORKING GROUP
MEMBERS

- ACCURATELY REPORT
BACK TO RESPECTIVE
CONSTITUENCIES.
- BE SUPPORTIVE OF THE
PROCESS
→ GIVE PROCESS A CHANCE

UNDERSTAND THE
CURRENT SITUATION.

- IDENTIFIED SOME
EXISTING CHALLENGES
BUT ALSO MANY
OPPORTUNITIES.
- AGREEMENT ON THE
IMPORTANCE OF LONG TERM
CONSERVATION AND SUSTAINABILITY
OF SHEEP.

DISCUSSED DISADVANTAGES OR
FEARS OF WORKING TOGETHER AS
A TEAM BUT ALSO THE MANY
ADVANTAGES OF WORKING TOGETHER

- DIVERSITY OF VIEWS
AND EXPERIENCE IN
THE ROOM.
- OPPORTUNITY TO REALLY
LISTEN TO EACH OTHER
AND DISCUSS ISSUES.
- POWER OF CONSENSUS

UNDERSTOOD THERE WERE
TRUST ISSUES REGARDING
BOARD OF GAME AND PAST
PRACTICES FOR SOME MEMBERS
OF THE TEAM.

• OUR WORKING GROUP
IS AN OPPORTUNITY TO
EXPLORE SHEEP MGMT.
ISSUES IN ANOTHER
WAY IN ADDITION TO THE
EXISTING MECHANISMS
IN PLACE.

BOARD OF GAME
REPRESENTATIVES
STATED WILLINGNESS TO
LISTEN EFFECTIVELY TO
PROPOSED IDEAS FROM
OUR TEAM.

WE ARE HERE IN FAIRBANKS
FOR WORKSHOP 2.

DESPITE THE
BUDGET CONSTRAINTS
OUR PROCESS IS
STILL MOVING AHEAD
AND A GREAT
OPPORTUNITY TO
SEIZE.

LET'S GET TO
WORK!

2

DISCUSSION RULES

FOR FLOWING
AND EFFICIENT
DEBATE.

WILLINGNESS TO WORK
IN SMALLER GROUPS AND
WORK TOWARD SOLUTIONS.

30 SECONDS SPEAKING
TIME

EXPRESS ONE IDEA
AT A TIME.

SAYING "YES" WHILE
THINKING "NO" JUST LEADS
TO GREATER PROBLEMS
LATER.

WRITE DOWN ALL IDEAS

7 WORDS ARE USUALLY
ENOUGH

BUT NOT JUST
KEY WORDS.

FLASH FOR OBJECTIONS,
COMMENTS • CLARIFICATION.


ADD COMMENT
ON AN ORANGE
CARD.

AGREEMENT
CARD





3



• - SHEEP (10A) (EWS)
• - SHEEP (2AN)



2




All Alaskan
Hunting
Traditions
Passed on
by Residents

5

August 1964
Lusk

6






6

August 1964
Lusk
Lusk
Lusk
Lusk



7

6



8

1. ...
 2. ...
 3. ...
 4. ...
 5. ...

- susta
- oppor
- educate
- habit
- hunter
- Acces

Quality of Management

10

5

0

Now

10 years

20 years

30 years

*current management
Ning High


"The Goal"



9

#6


[Faint handwritten notes]





7

10

The Goal°



- sustainability ^{all age} classes
- opportunity ^{undiminished}
- educated hunters w/ experience
- habitat
- hunter responsibility
- Access



8

11

WHAT DO YOU WANT SHEEP
MANAGEMENT ISSUES TO LOOK
LIKE IN 30 YEARS?

CULTURAL TRADITIONS
PASSED ON → PARTICIPATION
BY RESIDENTS

ADEQUATE FUNDING

SUSTAINABLE POPULATION
OF SHEEP
→ DIFFERENT AGE
CLASSES

GENETICALLY HEALTHY
SHEEP.

EDUCATION IMPORTANT
→ SCIENCE & KNOWLEDGE

NO CONFLICT
BETWEEN USERS

GOOD RECRUITMENT
HEALTHY - ALL AGE
CLASSES.

SHEEP ON THE MOUNTAINS
NEEDS TO STAY.

FOOD SOURCE - GOOD
VALUE.

RESIDENT / FAMILY
HUNTERS CLOSE TO
RESOURCE & NON-RESIDENTS
FARTHER FROM RESOURCE

VIEWABLE SHEEP CLOSE
TO ROAD WILDLIFE
VIEWING

CLEAN ENVIRONMENT
PREDATORS PART OF
THE BALANCE

SUSTAINABILITY
→ HEALTHY, AGE CLASSES

GOOD QUALITY OF
SHEEP POPULATIONS

OPPORTUNITY FOR ALL.

OPPORTUNITY FOR
EVERYONE

QUALITY FOR ALL
USERS.

QUALITY - VARIETY,
SOLITUDE

QUALITY EXPERIENCE
→ UNIQUE & DIFFERS
FOR ALL

RESIDENTS PRIORITY
IF / WHEN #'S ARE DOWN

12

4

LOTS OF SHEEP - ALL
AGE CLASSES,
DISEASE FREE

FUNDING TO SUPPORT
SHEEP MGMT, SCIENTIFIC
INFORMATION, STABILIZE
FUNDING

ACCESS FOR EVERYONE

ACTIVE PROGRAM

FAMILY HARVESTING
SHEEP
→ SUSTAINABLE POP.

QUALITY OPPORTUNITIES
FOR ~~3~~ USERS

ABUNDANCE OF SHEEP
FOR ALL USERS

QUALITY HABITAT
OPEN.

SAME OPPORTUNITIES
→ RESIDENT OPPORTUNITIES
PRIORITY

QUALITY OF MGMT.
RATING INCREASES
TO 10 (SCALE OF 1-10)

PLENTY OF SHEEP ON
MOUNTAINS
→ HEALTHY RECRUITMENT

IMPROVING QUALITY OF
SHEEP MGMT
→ SAME OR MORE #s

NO CONFLICTS BETWEEN
USERS

SHEEP HABITAT
MAINTAINED

WELL-INFORMED, EDUCATED
HUNTERS HELPING MGMT.

RESIDENTS CONTINUED
ABILITY TO HUNT.

HUNTER RESPONSIBILITIES
→ BECOME GOOD STEWARDS

13

Education

EDUCATION

Well informed Public Regarding Sheep Biology, Hunting, management Habitat.

The Ability for a hunter to have resolve, properly plan to be successful.

* A Well informed Public Through Research, Experience, Hunting Knowledge.

Quality hunting experience

QUALITY EXPERIENCE

DEFINED BY USER.

MAINTAIN SPECIAL UNIQUE CHARACTER OF SHEEP HUNTING

Quality Sheep Hunting opportunities

QUALITY

Sustainable Sheep Population

SUSTAINABILITY

- UNDERSTAND ENV. IMPACT
- PREDATION
- MAX YIELD

Healthy Sheep population - Maintaining management surveys.

Sheep Population in 30 years which ALL age classes are represented in %'s that ~~are~~ are sustainable. ^{necessary} Not sheep #'s!!

Maintaining the genetic makeup of the 5/8 RAM.

GOOD HEALTHY NATURAL SHEEP POPULATIONS

Want sustainable populations for future generations.

30 years from now sheep population is maintained at current level or greater (no loss)

Sustainable Sheep Populations

HEALTHY POP'N

Disease Free Sheep Populations

WHAT ARE OUR CORE VALUES?

14

FREEDOM OF
ACCESS

MAINTAIN ACCESS TO
RESOURCE

ACCESS

ENSURED ACCESS for
Sheep: with ensured
ACCESS for: WALK in
Hunting : Animal (horse)
: Boat
: AIRCRAFT
: ATV

Sheep Hunting
opportunity for
everyone

OPPORTUNITY
FOR ALL
INTEREST

OPPORTUNITY

UNDIMINISHED or
~~Reasonable~~ opportunity
to go Afield to hunt
Sheep

PASSING ON cultural
traditions to future
generations.

CULTURAL
FOOD

UNIQUE QUALITY
FOOD
SOURCE

Harvest Opportunities
for Everyone

Continued ability
to hunt sheep

Yearly opportunity
^(to obtain)
for over the counter
_(general hunts)
harvest permits

75

Adequate funding for sheep management

Stable longrange funding plan for scientific sheep management

Sufficient data to support scientific management by FeG

Active Management program & Focus from ADF&G

FUNDING \$

Sustainable populations for human consumption and other opportunities. (videoing, photography)

Sustainable surplus for all users to enjoy

MORE ROAD ACCESSIBLE AREAS TO VIEW SHEEP (CLOSED TO HUNTING)

ALL USERS NCWL + CWL.

Not a full agreement on some areas where there may be no hunting

Contiguous quality habitat at or above current levels open for hunting

Sheep habitat kept intact statewide

Ensure continued Pristine Habitat for Sheep

eg.

HABITAT QUALITY

16

30 years from now
Continued resident
general harvest
(maximize opportunity)

RESIDENT
PRIORITY

If surplus/identifies
^{opportunity}
honor the resident
priority. ↙

Residents need to have
Priority in % of the harvest.
Allocate 10% for non-residents
and put on a draw 10.555012

Priority for Alaskan
Resident hunters. MAX
Allocation for non-resident
harvest capped at 10%.
(Like other states)

Absolute Priority
for
Resident Hunters of Alaska

17

DO WE HAVE THIS VISION TODAY?

WE DO HAVE A LOT OF THESE THINGS TODAY.

→ HUNTERS & PUBLIC INFORMED WE CAN WORK

DON'T HAVE A RESIDENT PRIORITY RIGHT NOW

RESIDENT PRIORITY
→ ALLOCATE % TO NON-RESIDENTS

DISTINGUISH BETWEEN HARVEST & ALLOCATION.

SUCCESS RATES DIFFER BY EXPERIENCE

ALLOCATION & OPPORTUNITY
→ CAN'T ALLOCATE SUCCESS, RESOLVE, KNOWLEDGE.

HARVEST ALLOCATION DEFINES

FUNDING ISSUES TO SHEEP MGMT NEEDED & STABLE

FUNDING-NON-RESIDENTS SUPPLY \$\$\$

• HUNTER SUCCESS + ALLOCATION CONFUSED
• NEED INFORMED & PREPARED

MISCONCEPTIONS ABOUT FUNDING
→ NEED TO UNDERSTAND

FUNDING QUESTIONS
→ EVERYONE PAYS A "FAIR" SHARE.
→ WITHOUT \$\$\$ WE NEED TO ADDRESS THIS OURSELVES.

FUNDING QUESTIONS
→ WHERE MONIES COMING FROM?
→ ALASKA IS UNIQUE LOT OF COMPETITION ELSEWHERE

FUNDING ISSUES

SHEEP MAY NOT BE HEALTHY.
→ MAY NOT HAVE AREAS FOR GREAT WILDLIFE VIEWING

CURRENT STATE MGMT MAY NOT BE WORKING TO ACHIEVE OUR VISION
→ FULL CURL MGMT DISCUSSION.

• UNREGULATED GUIDING NEEDS ADDRESSED.
• NEED GOOD SCIENCE.

HEALTHY POP'N

WILDLIFE VIEWING

ROAD → WILDLIFE VIEWING OPPORTUNITIES 365 DAYS, HUNTING OPP. 55 DAYS.

18

ENTITLEMENT
CONCEPT
→ EDUCATE ABOUT
"BUYING" OPPORTUNITY
NOT NECESSARILY
AN ANIMAL

EDUCATION

WHAT ARE THE
THREATS TO THIS?
→ STATUTES EXIST
REGARDING PRIORITIES

ADFG → DO THEY
USE DRONES/
TECH TO GET
BETTER #S?

SCIENCE

ALASKA IS IN
A UNIQUE
SITUATION
→ SHOULD WE
COMPARE OURSELVES
TO OTHER AREAS?

ALASKA → HAS
DIFFERENT #S THAN
OTHER AREAS
→ VARIETY OF
PROBLEMS HERE

NO STATES ALLOCATE
BY TAKE
→ PRIORITY &
RESTRICTIONS ARE
DIFFERENT
→ GUIDE CROWDING

ALASKA
UNIQUE?

QUALITY

QUALITY HUNT
→ LIMITED BY BIAT
ACCESS & RIVER
→ GAS PRICES
→ TIME SPENT
→ QUALITY DIFFERS
FROM LOCAL COMM.
HOW MEASURE.

GUIDE
CONCESSION
ISSUES

GUIDE CONCESSION
ISSUES
→ NON-RESIDENTS
BEING LIMITED.

FAIRNESS

"FAIRNESS" TO
ALL PEOPLE
→

19

VISION

- UNIQUE
- CONCISE
- STRETCH BUT NOT OVERSTRETCH
- MOTIVATING

• ENHANCE SHEEP POPULATIONS TO SCIENCE-BASED SUSTAINABLE POPULATION LEVELS THAT PROVIDE QUALITY EXPERIENCES & OPPORTUNITY FOR RESIDENTS & NON-RESIDENTS.

Sustained Yield Dall Sheep management ~~through~~ ~~the~~ seeking and providing consistent funding of scientific management.

DEDICATED TO MAINTAINING AND ENHANCING SHEEP OPPORTUNITIES FOR MAXIMUM YIELD FOR FUTURE ALASKANS."

Preserve or Enhance existing opportunities for Sheep through funding management
Protecting Habitat encroachment

Promote the sustainable and scientific management of healthy Dall Sheep for the common use, ~~and~~ and benefit of future generations.

* Dedicated to Establishing ~~Statewide~~ Sustainable STATEWIDE HARVEST GOALS *
MT RANGE BY MT RANGE

Enhancing and Protecting Big Sheep high quality wilderness experiences for current and future generations.

Enable widespread opportunities for sustainable use of Alaska's Dall sheep through well-funded scientific research, dedicated stewardship and public education and outreach.

To cultivate and advance Dall sheep and sheep hunting opportunity for present and future generations

JE
Valerie Theresa
Erickson
Vision Statement

20

WHAT ARE THE OBSTACLES TO ACHIEVING OUR VISION?

15B
12R

DEMAND
LOCATION
Increase in demand for resource out paces the supply of opportunity

Potential loss of habitat, genetic diversity, and increased risk of disease with development.

HABITAT

14A, 13D

→ MANY GUIDES THEN WENT TO DRAW
→ PROBLEM WITH POPIN ↓

Potential Future Threat

SOLVE
ALLOCATION
ISSUE

Bring Subsequent generations into Sheep Hunting by providing opportunity, sharing experiences.

Unlimited Hunting with Limited Resource

Federal Lands Closed to General Hunting

LANDS CLOSED
4R, 4B

Limited amount of sheep hunting habitat available to hunters

erosion of access and use on public and private lands

PREDATOR MGMT
4B

Federal Resistance to active management (habitat manipulation, predator management)

If our vision is a quality experience, we need a definition of what a quality experience is. This experience is measured differently by each person.

2B
QUALITY

2A

LACK of PREDATOR MANAGEMENT Statewide

Poor Inter-Governmental cooperation.

Securing long term
Stable Funding to
support Scientific, education
management, and outreach

Funding for new Ideas
~~AND~~
Research

LACK OF ~~FUNDING~~
~~FUNDING~~

Adequate Funding

Potential future funding
limitations affecting
Dall sheep research &
management objectives

*The Legislatures lack to effectively
provide ADP&G funding for wildlife
management.*

FUNDING

7B, 2R

Focus
1B

FOCUS OF ~~MANAGEMENT~~
MANAGEMENT NOT
ON WHAT'S BEST FOR
SHEEP

Too focused on
our own self interests
V
Short-term

2R
CONFLICTS
BTW
GROUPS

Competing Interest
among group members
and the public and
lack of participation by some groups.

FAULT OF BOTH SIDES
SYSTEMATICALLY
EXCLUDED
FROM GAME
PROCESSES, AC
& DON'T SHOW UP

LAND USES
→ MUST
HAVE "SKIN" IN
THE GAME.

HEALTHY POP'NS
ARE A MUST
FOR EVERYONE.

Unwillingness to see
things from others point
of view

FUNDING ISSUES
FROM HUNTERS
AND OTHERS


Unwillingness to
Compromise

☺ Concenses Amongst Groups

Desire by some groups
to restrict other groups
or types of users for
their own benefit

22

Unpredictability of current and future weather patterns



Surmountable + insurmountable obstacles that are keeping sheep #'s low.
eg. - weather events
- Predator control

Uncontrollable weather events

WEATHER
14B

1B, 3R
LACK OF SHEEP PERSON

ADF&G SHEEP
CZAR REQUIRED

SINGLE PERSONS OF CONTACT FOR SHEEP NEEDED.

PRIORITY OF MANAGEMENT SHEEP LOW ON TOTEMPOLE

Our vision is to increase sheep #'s.
We assume that weather events + predation are the main factors what if there is some other major player that is responsible for sheep decline?

DISEASE
2B
1R

POTENTIAL SPREAD OF DISEASE BY DOMESTIC SHEEP & GOATS.

UNWILLINGNESS TO HELP

Unwillingness of ADF&G to accept outside help. (NGOs, the Public)

BEEEN VERY COOPERATIVE WITH SHARING DATA.


23

Lack of recognition for data that does not support one's own position

Lack of sound scientific knowledge, data collection & analysis

Lack of education and no consensus on current data or information

- Lack of consistent harvest data for Subsistence & General hunts



SHEEP #s ↓ 30

Progressive Declining Sheep Populations overtime, in several areas of Alaska

RAMS THAT ARE BIG ARE LESS OUT THERE

SCALE

Current management fails to recognize intensive use areas by Resident + non Resident hunters. ONE SIZE management doesn't eliminate user conflicts in specific areas throughout Alaska.

OVERCROWDING

OVERCROWDING ISSUE TRUE? MISCONCEPTION?

DOESN'T CONNECT ALLOCATION → TIME & SPACE

MEANS OF ACCESS - 207



207 5B 2R

RELATED TO SHEEP DECLINING #s

LACK OF KNOWLEDGE REALISTIC EXPECTATIONS → LESS PEOPLE.

MORE SHEEP MORE HUNTERS NOT NECESSARILY CONNECTED. LESS + LESS-CROWDING.

24

CONCESSION PLANS
→ DIDN'T UNDERSTAND
COMMERCIAL ISSUES
→ LEGISLATURE DEFEATED.

CONCESSIONS

26
1R

WHAT IS THE BIGGEST
OBSTACLE?



WHAT IS THE BIGGEST
OBSTACLE THAT YOU CAN
DO SOMETHING ABOUT?



25

5 TIMES WHY?

WHY IS ALLOCATION ISSUES AN OBSTACLE TO ACHIEVING OUR VISION?

Allocation is a means to limit / Cap / Guarantee the amount of Non Res. Sheep Hunters.

Residents feel with out a allocation they have diminished opportunity.

B.O.G. has not addresssed issue, need to move toward the Vision.

Other Big game animals have Resident preference IE. Allocation, I.M., Teir I

Brings alot of emotion / Fighting

ALLOCATE
DECIDE NUMBERS
MONITOR

Objective - limiting # of tags for non-residents by BOG

26

Not everyone supportive of predator control & opening areas

- why problem
- non-residents at competing residents for available resource which is declining

1. A. Less Sheep
B. Dissatisfied with quality experience

- why problem
- guides + tags unlimited + guides have more resources for access, etc.

2. A. Weather, predation, over hunting
B. Crowding & Perception of Crowding

- why
- no big game ^{comm. serv.} ~~board~~ not regulating - not limiting as they could be
- on non draw hunts no limits
- on tags
- state legislature also not taking action

3. A. Coyotes + golden eagles variable weather / warming
B. Economics of access (unlimited guides Intensity effort @ higher density areas)

- why
- conflicts of interest ^{big game} ^{management} ^{of} ^{comm. serv.} influence of user groups on BOG

4. A. Lack of management / unintended consequences of wolf predator management / Avalanches & grazing food
B. Close is cheaper / more capacity decreasing quality & hunt / crowding, too concerns / harm, leads to draws

- why
- allocation divisive so hard to make hard decision that alienates / disenfranchises user groups

5. A. Can't do predator control for sheep it kills old and young sheep
B. crowding / draw disturbs hunt & changes sheep behavior less sheep & less access

- predator control / reduction in problem areas for identified predator species (wolves, coyotes, bobcats)
- status quo, exclusive guide association, non resident draw in BOG, State, Private

① BLAAME

-EVERYONE ELSE'S FAULT

② COMPETITION

③ HUNTER SUCCESS RATES

④ LOCALISED CROWDING

⑤ ACCESS POINTS
↓
POPULATION DEMOGRAPHIC

MICRO-MANAGE
(TRAIL)
FRICTIONAL AREAS
(E: 14C, TMA, DELTA)

Low Sheep Numbers
"Legal Rams"

Non-Residents taking too high % of harvest.

Board of Game hasn't lowered the non-resident % of harvest.

Non-Resident tags and licenses too low in costs.

Dependant on Money

Legislators ARE AFRAID to RAISE fee on Res & N-RES

To get the Board of Game to lower the % of Non-Resident harvest.

Allocation exists right now.
#207 - allocation affect anything?
Types of allocation?
→ take method, optically

What would be the effect of doing certain things on the job of mgmt?

No need to adjust allocation that we have today.
→ worthy of discussion.

Majority of state no allocation
→ some areas with.

What triggers permit system?
What based on?
What criteria?

27

Misinformation
insufficient Data
False Data or Made up "data"
(harvest + tickets)

Myth that AK is different in allocation than other jurisdictions

why: ALLOCATION
① BOG has been reluctant to change current allocations.

② BOG hasn't seen a biological concern to act

Misinformation

insufficient Data

False Data or Made up "data"
(harvest tickets)

Myth that AK is different
in allocation than other
jurisdictions.

Greed

Better data - distributed
disseminated
properly / concisely to
address allocation concerns

Lost Opportunity
Federal closed areas (ANILCA)

Open closed areas or
Create New populations
in areas without Sheep

Low Sheep #'s

concentrates hunters &
creates a desire for a personal
advantage

Prioritize Active Management
dedicated to sheep

WHY: ALLOCATION

① BOG has been
reluctant to change current
allocations.

② BOG hasn't seen a
biological concern to act
on.

③ Public concerns about
biological #'s may be based
on incorrect assumptions
or perceptions.

④ Paucity of data? OR
insufficient sharing/
distribution of data.

Education.

OBS: We need site-specific
data on sheep #'s / composition
+ we need for that data to
be openly shared + understood
[Need personnel / \$\$\$]

28

WHY IS LACK OF DATA AN OBSTACLE TO ACHIEVING OUR VISION?

What if any impact of better data being available will have on allocation?

Perceived lack of data

①

The data are not getting to the public

②

Multiple sources hold data (private, agency (both state & fed))

③

Not a clear forum for data dissemination

④ 1. Lack of interagency data sharing or lack of taking the whole data picture to the public.

⑤ Conflicting management priorities.

Department-provided data presented to the public in a user-friendly format

More areas to discuss. Go back to sheets 21-25.

29

Department-provided data presented to the public in a user-friendly format

PITMAN-ROBINSON MONEY USED FOR SPECIFIC PURPOSES

→ CONCERNED ABOUT CERTAIN DISCUSSIONS WE MAY HAVE

WE DEAL WITH THESE ISSUES ALL THE TIME.
→ COVER FROM DIFFERENT SOURCES

USF&W APPROVED PROCESS
→ ADJUST RATIO REGULARLY

ADVISORY PROCESSES ARE EXTREMELY IMPORTANT

WHY HAS GROUP BEEN PULLED TOGETHER?

BOARD OF GAME WANT TO LISTEN TO ALL GROUPS.
→ ALLOW FOR DEEPER DISCUSSION ON ISSUES.

MEETING IS ABOUT SHEEP & LET'S FOCUS ON THE ISSUES.

WORKING GROUP COMES UP WITH RECOMMENDATIONS
→ EXISTING PROPOSALS FROM ACs NOT BEING DISCUSSED

*NEED INFO. ABOUT SHEEP #s,
*NEED A PRESENTATION.

SUNDAY START-UP DISCUSSION

30

+
a
act
about
be heard
assumptions
? OR
ring/
data.
d site space
s/composition
that data to
ed + understand
el/1683

RAT
AN
OF
REAL
ON
Surv
WA
DO U
SAME
AS W
Year
Comple
the 13
Experim
Populatio
Resident

Would P
CONTRAC
Sheep F
*WEBSITE
→ 2 REPR
*MT
SPECIFIC

