Findings of the Alaska Board of Game 2005 - 157 - BOG Regutherizing Wolf Control in Portions of Uni

Reauthorizing Wolf Control in Portions of Unit 13

March 13, 2005

Background

Unit 13 long has been an important hunting area for resident subsistence users as well as for the bulk of the state's population in Anchorage, the Matanuska-Susitna valley, and Fairbanks. It is recognized under the state's intensive management law as an area where moose and caribou are to be managed for high levels of human consumptive use.

For the past decade, the Board of Game has heard persistent concern from local residents, hunters and wildlife managers about a continuous and steep decline in the moose population across most of Unit 13.

The Board has concurrently heard the equally persistent concern that predation is causing the moose decline. Researchers and public testimony identify the primary causes of poor calf survival and dwindling population:

- Year-round predation by wolves, and
- Late spring/early summer brown bear predation on calves.

Under the Wolf Conservation and Management Policy adopted by the Board in 1991, and revised in 1993, "in areas managed for high consumptive use where predation is keeping prey at low levels, ADF&G may implement wolf population regulation or reduction to allow prey species to increase to population management objectives." Under this policy, the Board will consider wolf control when:

- Wolf predation is a factor in an unacceptable decline in prey population size or productivity, or
- Wolf predation is a factor preventing attainment of approved population or human use objectives.

Both situations clearly apply to Unit 13.

In an effort to initiate predation control activity, the Board established in 2000 a wolf predation control area covering much of Unit 13 under 5 AAC 92.125(5). While this wolf predation control area has been in place since 2000, the state took no action until January 2004 when land and shoot wolf control was initiated. The Board hereby incorporates 5 AAC 92.125(5) by reference, and reaffirms its ongoing validity, with updates noted herein, based on the most current information from the department.

Under AS 16.05.783, the Board of Game may authorize a predator control program involving airborne or same day airborne shooting as part of a game management program if the Board determines, based on information provided by the department, certain steps are met:

- Objectives set by the Board for the big game prey population and human harvest have not been achieved,
- Predation is an important cause for failure to achieve the set objectives, and
- Reducing predation can reasonably be expected to help achieve those objectives.

Board Objectives for the Big Game Prey Population Have Not Been Achieved

For the purposes of implementing AS 16.05.255(e) - (g), the Board of Game identified the moose populations in Units 13A, 13B, 13C and 13E as important for providing high levels of harvest for human consumptive use and has established the following population and harvest objectives (5AAC 92.108):

- Unit 13A, 3,500 4,200 moose with harvest objective of 210 420.
- Unit 13B, 5,300 6,300 moose with harvest objective of 310 620.
- Unit 13C, 2,400 3,200 moose with harvest objective of 155 350.
- Unit 13E, $5{,}000 6{,}000$ moose with harvest objective of 300 600.

Additionally, the Board reauthorized a Wolf Predation Control Implementation Plan for Unit 13 (5 AAC 92.125(1)) with program objectives designed to stop the decline of the moose population within the wolf predation control area and maintain the following moose population composition and density objectives during fall surveys:

- Unit 13A, 1.0 cows per square mile and 25 calves per 100 cows.
- Unit 13B, 1.2 cows per square mile and 30 calves per 100 cows.
- Unit 13C, 1.5 cows per square mile and 30 calves per 100 cows.
- Unit 13E, 0.9 cows per square mile and 30 calves per 100 cows.

The fall 2004 moose population, composition and density estimates are:

- Unit 13A, 2,500 moose with 0.8 cows per square mile and 22 calves per 100 cows
- Unit 13B, 3,800 moose with 0.8 cows per square mile and 23 calves per 100 cows
- Unit 13C, 1,200 moose with 0.7 cows per square mile and 10 calves per 100 cows.
- Unit 13E, 4,000 moose with 0.6 cows per square mile and 24 calves per 100 cows.

The moose population in each unit is below intensive management population objectives and below the population composition and density objectives contained in the Wolf Predation Control Implementation Plan.

The human harvest for the past 5 years has averaged:

- Unit 13A, 155 moose.
- Unit 13B, 142 moose.
- Unit 13C, 74 moose.
- Unit 13E, 100 moose.

Based on information provided by the department, the Board determines that the Intensive Management moose population and human harvest objectives for Unit 13 are not being met. The Board also determines that the moose population objectives in the Wolf Predation Control Implementation Plan are not being met in Units 13A, 13B, 13C, and 13E.

Predation is an Important Cause for Failure to Achieve Objectives Set by the Board Through a series of incremental steps over time, the Board has moved to reduce wolf and bear numbers in Unit 13 in order to meet the objectives set by the Board under the state's intensive management law. Longer seasons, more liberal bag limits, and additional methods and means are now in place to increase the take of wolves and bears in Unit 13. These actions did not stop the moose decline, nor did they result in a significant predator reduction.

Concurrent with its efforts to ease predation, the Board reduced the human harvest of moose by shortening resident hunting seasons, eliminating nonresident hunters, and adopting more selective antler restrictions. Fewer people are hunting in Unit 13 and human harvest has declined.

The moose population in Units 13A, 13B, and 13E declined 52% between 1988 – 2002. Pregnancy rates for adult cow moose haven't declined and productivity has remained constant. Calves are being born but are not surviving. Moose and caribou make up the bulk of a wolf's diet in Unit 13. It is estimated one wolf needs 12 moose or 36 caribou, or some combination thereof, each year to support itself. Wolves are opportunistic, and take moose of all ages and both sexes.

The Board has already established wolf hunting and trapping seasons that are as long as reasonably practical. Any further liberalization would have little impact on overall wolf numbers. Few additional wolves would be taken due to poor access and poor pelt quality.

Wolf harvests are at record levels, averaging 213 between 1999 and 2002 before land and shoot wolf control, and 246 in 2003 with wolf control. Nevertheless, due to high productivity, and low harvests in 13C and 13D outside the wolf control area, the spring 2004 post-harvest wolf population estimate was 230. Even with continued high harvests, the wolf population will probably remain well above the Board established spring objective of 135-165 because of low harvests outside the control area.

Several studies have shown that brown bears take more than half of the moose calves born each spring. The predation rate remains high until calves are about six weeks old. After that, brown bears can and do kill moose of all ages and both sexes, but the rate at which they do so is greatly diminished.

In actions similar to liberalizing wolf seasons, the Board has gone as far as possible to reduce the number of brown bears given current hunting regulations, including establishing a year-round season for most of Unit 13. A series of record brown bear

harvests averaging 141 bears per season over the past 6 years resulted. Although recent high harvest rates exceed estimates of sustainable levels, the Board has no evidence the bear population is being – or even will be – reduced.

Based on information provided by the department, the Board determines that predation is an important cause for failure to achieve the set objectives.

Reducing Predation Can Reasonably Be Expected to Help Achieve Objectives Set by the Board

Despite Board actions via standard hunting and trapping regulations to liberalize wolf and bear hunting, those predator populations remain high. Meanwhile, the moose population remains below objective levels despite Board actions that have curtailed human harvest. It is clear, based on information provided by the department, that reducing predators will help the moose population to recover so that human harvest objectives can be achieved. While it is Board policy to manage wolf populations and predation through routine hunting and trapping, predation control programs using methods not generally approved for hunting and trapping may be implemented. One such method is same day airborne wolf take. Given the experience over the past decade, it is clear to the Board that the moose population cannot be restored, and wolf numbers cannot be reduced enough, to meet management objectives without the use of aircraft to control wolves.

It should be emphasized that under the Board's wolf management policy, such control programs "are not expected to be permanent, on-going activities" and control of wolves must be done in such a way as to "assure continued viability of wolves in the ecosystem." The use of aircraft will not jeopardize the long-term viability of wolves in Unit 13 or the state as a whole, where the wolf population is estimated at 7,700 to 11,200. Once the objectives of the wolf predation control program are achieved, the program should cease. However, any future increase in wolf population with a commensurate decrease in moose population should trigger another predator control activity.

The Board of Game hereby authorizes a Predator Control Program using aircraft for the Wolf Predation Control Implementation Plan for Unit 13 in accordance with 5 AAC 92.125(5).

Vote:	_
March 13, 2005	
Anchorage, Alaska	
Mike Fleagle, Chair	
Alaska Board of Game	