## Alaska Board of Game Resolution Request to the U.S. Forest Service Regarding Management of Guided Brown Bear Hunting in Unit 4 2002-138-BOG

**Whereas**, the Board of Game has since the early 1990s heard testimony from the public and from management agencies concerning user conflict and potential over-harvest or displacement of brown bears in Unit 4; and

**Whereas**, in 1995 the Board of Game responded to these concerns by establishing a "Southeast Alaska Brown Bear Committee" to review the situation; and

Whereas, this committee reported to the Board of Game that year that the best solutions to two central problems – the proliferation of bear hunting guides and capping harvest – were outside state control, and that the U.S. Forest Service, whose authority did allow direct control of guide numbers and guide-by-guide allocation of harvest, was not intended to exercise control without a National Environmental Protection Act (NEPA) process; and

**Whereas**, the Brown Bear Committee, as a result, disbanded without effecting significant change in the status quo; and

**Whereas**, at the Board of Game fall 1998 meeting, testimony from the U.S. Forest Service that a NEPA process was now under way to establish a framework for controlling guide and guided hunt numbers and other related actions in collaboration with the Alaska Dept. of Fish and Game; and

**Whereas**, this testimony from the U.S. Forest Service, and a number of proposals illustrating continued public concern over several aspects of brown bear management centering on Unit 4, prompted the Board of Game to establish a 'Unit 4 Brown Bear Management Team (BBMT)" comprised of two board members, a broad array of public interests, as will as U.S. Forest Service and Alaska Dept. of Fish and Game representatives; and

**Whereas**, this team, after two years of deliberation, produced by consensus a "Management Strategy for Brown Bear Management in Unit 4" which was unanimously accepted by the Board of Game at their fall 2000 meeting; and

**Whereas**, this document again flagged the proliferation of guides and hunt allocation as very important concerns; and

**Whereas**, the U.S. Forest Service responded in August 2000, by placing a moratorium of issuance of new bear guiding permits for Unit 4 (and Unit 1), pending outcome of the NEPA process intended to deal with these matters; and

**Whereas**, the U.S. Forest Service decided during the NEPA process to defer consideration of matters related to brown bear hunting; as a consequence, the draft "Shoreline Outfitter/Guide EIS" produced in July 2002, failed to address these issues; and

**Whereas**, the U.S. Forest Service has recently stated its intent to deal with issues related to guided brown bear hunting in an additional, unspecified process once the NEPA process is final.

## Now be it resolved that the U.S. Forest Service is respectfully requested

- to maintain the moratorium on new guiding permits until the additional process addressing guided brown bear hunting is in place and has reached appropriate decisions; and
- to describe the process and timeline envisioned for arriving at and implementing a policy on matter related to brown bear hunting, including plans the U.S. Forest Service may have for public and other agency involvement.

Vote: \_\_\_\_\_ November 7, 2002 Juneau, Alaska

Ben Grussendorf, Chair Alaska Board of Game