<u>ACR 3</u> – Change the bag limit for guided goat hunters in Unit 6 and implement a fine for guides responsible for nonresident hunters taking nannies. (*Note: The Board does not have authority to implement fines.*)

## **SUBMITTED BY:** Dennis Zadra

## CITE THE REGULATION THAT WILL BE CHANGED IF THIS ACR IS HEARD.

5 AAC 85.040(a)(2). Hunting seasons and bag limit for goat.

WHAT IS THE PROBLEM YOU WOULD LIKE THE BOARD TO ADDRESS? STATE IN DETAIL THE NATURE OF THE CURRENT PROBLEM. Nonresident hunters are required to hire a guide to hunt mountain goats, and this regulation unfairly punishes them for the mistake of the guide.

**WHAT SOLUTION DO YOU PREFER?** Resident mountain goat hunters and nonresident goat hunters guided by a relative taking a nanny in Unit 6 will be prevented from hunting goats in Unit 6 for five regulatory years. The outfitter responsible for a nonresident hunter taking a nanny shall be fined \$500.00 for each occurrence.

## STATE IN DETAIL HOW THIS ACR MEETS THE FOLLOWING CRITERIA:

- 1) To correct an error in regulation. No.
- 2) To correct an effect of a regulation that was unforeseen when a regulation was adopted. This regulation takes the responsibility of identifying a female mountain goat away from the guide and punishes the nonresident hunter for the mistake of the guide. I would like the opportunity to bring a hunter back the following year to take a male goat when one of my guides makes a mistake in identifying a nanny.
- **3)** Does the request identify a biological concern for the population or a threat to meeting objectives for the population? No.
- 4) Does the request identify an unforeseen, unexpected event or effect that would otherwise restrict or reduce a reasonable opportunity for customary and traditional wildlife uses, as defined in AS 16.05.258(f)? No.
- 5) Does the request identify an unforeseen, unexpected resource situation where a biologically allowable resource harvest would be precluded by delayed regulatory action and such delay would be significantly burdensome because the resource would be unavailable in the future? No.

WHAT WILL HAPPEN IF THIS PROBLEM IS NOT SOLVED PRIOR TO THE **REGULAR CYCLE?** Outfitters will not have the opportunity to provide a make up hunt when the guide makes a mistake and allows the nonresident hunter to shoot a nanny.

**STATE WHY YOUR ACR IS NOT PREDOMINANTLY ALLOCATIVE**. This does not allocate the resource in any way.

IF THIS REQUEST IS ALLOCATIVE, STATE THE NEW INFORMATION THAT COMPELS THE BOARD TO CONSIDER AN ALLOCATIVE PROPOSAL OUTSIDE OF THE REGULAR CYCLE. N/A

**STATE YOUR INVOLVEMENT IN THE ISSUE THAT IS THE SUBJECT OF THIS ACR.** Outfitter/Guide.

STATE WHETHER THIS ACR HAS BEEN CONSIDERED BEFORE, EITHER AS A PROPOSAL OR AS AN ACR, AND IF SO, DURING WHICH BOARD OF GAME MEETING. Not to my knowledge.