

Proposal 375

Salomone, Paul G (DFG)

Actions

In response to the message from H Albecker, Tue 10:13 PM

To:

'H Albecker' [aleutgal2004@yahoo.com]

Cc:

Jenkins Brito, Susie (DFG)

Wednesday, March 19, 2014 5:53 AM

Hi Hattie,

I have forwarded your comments on to Susie.

Paul

**From:** H Albecker [mailto:aleutgal2004@yahoo.com]

**Sent:** Wednesday, March 19, 2014 5:52 AM

**To:** Salomone, Paul G (DFG)

**Subject:** Re: Proposal 375

Good Morning,

It looks as though my comments went out last night. I was having troubles with my outgoing emails. I thought at one time that we had something kind of worked out, but evidently not. I am going to back to the recommendation a majority of the Ugashik Village settlers really do support. Folks are really getting tired of dealing with this issue.

Thank you in advance for supporting the majority recommendation.

Have a great day.

Hattie

Salomone, Paul G (DFG)

Wednesday, March 19, 2014 5:41 AM

**From:** Timothy Enright [mailto:ugashik1937@yahoo.com]

**Sent:** Tuesday, March 18, 2014 9:52 PM

**To:** Salomone, Paul G (DFG)

**Subject:** Re: Proposal 375

I run a set net site for a long time permit holder here in Ugashik and have lived here for many years, now I sat in on the last meeting regarding proposal 375 and was in agreement with everyone else that 400 to 1000 feet was acceptable as long as running lines were pulled at the close of fishing. Now we gave an inch now he wants a mile, well now I say no. He can go back to east bank 18 foot high water mark to 600 feet including anchor line with the rest of us, the fish and game has more important things to do than make one person happy. I have hit his outside anchor at low water and was lucky nothing was damaged or no one was hurt because the permit holder pay's for all gear and equipment plus insurance. The time for proposals has pasted it was to be voted on in Anchorage now this fisherman votes no on 375 everything stay the same no one gets an advantage. Tim

Salomone, Paul G (DFG)

Wednesday, March 19, 2014 5:40 AM

Salomone, Paul G (DFG)

Wednesday, March 19, 2014 5:40 AM

**From:** Timothy Enright [mailto:ugashik1937@yahoo.com]

**Sent:** Tuesday, March 18, 2014 10:27 PM

**To:** Salomone, Paul G (DFG)

**Subject:** Re: Proposal 375

I am a member of Lower Bristol Bay Advoristy commiti I am also the repesntive of Ugashik Village. I amanot for going out to 1500 feet.these sights were never ment to be fished with more than 50 fathems of gear.I THINK THAT ALL SIGHTS SHOULD BE at 600 feet max.we Tim Enright voted on a compermise and we all thaught it was setteled.these sights were put here in the village in 1941 by the act of congress so the women coulg support there familys while there husbins were away fighting the war.there were never ment to be any big commercial operations.so all I ask is for the board is to do the right thine and leave them at 600 feet max.thas is a special situation up here.  
Tim

H Albecker [aleutgal2004@yahoo.com]

Tuesday, March 18, 2014 10:13 PM

reference: Proposal 375

We need to reflect on the purpose that these eleven set net sites were placed this far up the Ugashik River. These sites were originally put here by an Act of Congress for the

"Widowed, Elderly, and Disabled" so that they were able to help provide for their families! They were able to do so with far less than 50 fathoms of gear. My family and I have had the honor of fishing two of these sites since inception.

Traditionally the sites have had running lines from the East Bank of the Ugashik River 18 foot High Tide mark out 400-600 feet. With that distance navigation up and down the main channel of the river at any stage of the tide was not a concern.

I do not agree with allowing the above mentioned of allowing gear to extend out 1500 feet. It would only open up a "Pandora's Box" Bristol Bay Region Wide! This would not solve the navigation issues that commercial and subsistence fishers, tenders and other boaters face.

At this point I am recommending that the Board adopt a regulation allowing the "Traditional Set" of allowing set net gear to extend from the 18 foot high tide mark out 600 feet. That is twice the length of a legal net.

Please contact me via email or phone on record if you would like any further input from us. Thank you for taking our recommendation under consideration.

Hattie C. Albecker Set Net Permit owner/ Ugashik set net site #2

William Albecker, Drift Gillnetter owner/vessel operator/Ugashik

Salomone, Paul G (DFG)

Tuesday, March 18, 2014 6:12 PM

Good Evening everyone,

I am here at the board meeting and there have been some developments regarding the navigation issues in the Ugashik Village area. Specifically, a new idea has been put forth with substitute language and I would like everyone to look at this and comment. Please send comments to either Susie Jenkins Brito via email or fax them to (907)465-6094 by no later than 8 00 am Tomorrow, Wednesday March 19. I apologize for the short notice on this but it is a late development and the board is looking for input/comments from the community.

This language is meant to provide a navigable channel from 600 to 900 feet offshore of the east bank 18 foot high tide mark. This is draft language, not accepted by the board at this point, and still under discussion

5AAC 06.331 (m)(8) in the Ugashik District in that portion of the east bank of the Ugashik River from a point at 57° 30.74' N. lat., 157° 24.10' W. long., to 57° 32.27' N. lat., 157° 24.36' W. long., using the east bank 18 foot high tide mark to measure all distances, commercial set gillnet gear used in the salmon fishery may be fished as follows: from 0 to 600 feet offshore a set gillnet is permitted to be connected to the eastern shore with a running line in a traditional manner. All gear used to keep the net stationary (running lines, buoys anchors, etc.) must be within the 600 feet. To provide relief for vessels to navigate freely, from 600 to 900 feet no gear associated with fishing activity may be present (buoys, running lines, anchors, etc). From 900 to 1500 feet from the 18 foot east bank high tide mark, set gillnet gear may be deployed without being connected to a bank (freestanding), but all hardware used to deploy the net must be contained within the 600 foot distance between 900 and 1500 feet from the 18 foot east

bank high tide mark.

Regards,

Paul

**Proposal 375**

BETH COURTNEY [psmith2357@msn.com]

**Sent:** Wednesday, March 19, 2014 7:43 AM**To:** Jenkins Brito, Susie (DFG)

Due to the width of Ugashik River, at Ugashik Village set net sites I feel that extending the length to 900 to 1500 ft would allow fishing on the west bank of Ugashik River. Alaska Board of Fish should only allow fishing within the 600 ft from the East bank 18 ft high tide mark. Allowing the 300 ft opening is not a solution for safe navigation. The only thing this is doing is allowing commercial fishing on the west bank of Ugashik river

Dennis Courtney  
425 259 1873

**FW: concerned ugashik setnetter**

Salomone, Paul G (DFG)

**Sent:** Wednesday, March 19, 2014 5:41 AM**To:** Jenkins Brito, Susie (DFG)

---

**From:** Mike Enright [mailto:[graayghost@yahoo.com](mailto:graayghost@yahoo.com)]**Sent:** Tuesday, March 18, 2014 9:07 PM**To:** Salomone, Paul G (DFG)**Subject:** Fw: concerned ugashik setnetter

On Tuesday, March 18, 2014 8:53 PM, Mike Enright <[graayghost@yahoo.com](mailto:graayghost@yahoo.com)> wrote:

I thought we had come to a compromise. evidently not. i don't think its right to go out to 1500 ft. there is need to go out that far. I don't know what was wrong with just going out 600 ft. it has worked out for many years i feel going out 1500 ft. will just open up a large can of worm and wont' be able to control it. just remember these setnet sites were originally put her for the elderly, the widowed, and the disabled. NO i am not in favor of going out the 1500 ft. I recommending that board of fish adopt allowing setnet gear in the ugashik village only be aloud from 18 ft, mark on the east bank of the ugashik river out to 600 ft.

thank you  
Maurice Enright

**Proposal 375**

BETH COURTNEY [psmith2357@msn.com]

**Sent:** Wednesday, March 19, 2014 8:07 AM**To:** Jenkins Brito, Susie (DFG)

To All Concerned

My impute on the on having a 300 ft opening in the river from set net gear, lines buoy, anchors etc. , I am not in favor of this, we are still back to a safety issue. as for allowing nets in the 900 ft to 1500 ft area, from the East bank is in fact fishing the West bank and would open .

Fishing in Ugashik River has become a ridiculous unsafe hugh mess, lines cables buoys, nets are all over the river, you never know where they are when they have moved or add something. How much money and time has this cost us all trying to deal with these problems. Alaska State Troopers have been called many times to the Village, the Coast Guard and U.S. Army Core of Engineers

Please put a stop to this, my deep concerns are some one is going to get hurt or die, due to this mess.

I am in favor of the 600ft from the , let us be allowed to navigate freely in this river

18 ft high tide mark on the east bank.

Thank You

Elizabeth Courtney

er

*Member Jensen*