

RC #139

December 10, 2012

RE: Proposal #79 and RC# 92

These comments are in regards to RC 92 which is substitute language for Proposal 79 "separation of gear types in the Wood River Special Harvest Area":

I would like the Board to reconsider their action on RC 92.


Although I kind of like the process of the Committee of the Whole, as it seemed to encourage input near the time of deliberation, it seems to preclude the opportunity for public input on RCs used as substitute language for proposals.

This is the case with RC 92, the RC was put through with no public comment and people had no idea it was being considered. To abolish an allocation plan in favor of an arbitrary percentage of time, would have generated considerable discussion.


Percentage of time does not equal percentage of catch.

As a result, our only chance to comment comes through our opportunity to request the Board bring this proposal up for reconsideration.

Please refer to Hans Nicholson's Nushagak AC Chairman's RC on this subject, RC 116 which is also in support of reconsideration of proposal 79/RC 92.


Frank G woods III

vice chair Nushagak AC
Drift Gillnet fisherman.


Tom O'Connor

Nushigak AC member SET NET