# Investment and Infrastructure Advisory Committee Meeting

### **Meeting Notes**

# March 9, 2017

Attendees: Angel Drobnica, Jeff Hetrick, Julie Decker, Markos Scheer, Renee Johnson (USDA), Jeff Curry (USDA), Tomi Marsh, Eric Wyatt, Erik Obrien, Trevor Sande, Kirsten Shelton Walker (McDowell Group)

The AC continued its discussion on existing funding opportunities for Mariculture. Focus was on USDA grants and loans. Jeff and Renee led discussion of the agency's programs:

Name	Туре	Benefit	Eligible Participant
Business Industry Loan	Loan Guarantee	Reduced risk for	Processing
Guarantee		lender/increase	facilities/hatcheries
		flexibility to borrower	
			Public or private
REAP	25% grant of RE or EE	Offset costs related to	Small for profit business
	project cost/Loan	energy consumption	
	guarantee for 75% of		
	project costs		
RBDG/ Rural Business	Grant	Technical Assistance	Rural public entities: ex:
Dev. Grant Program		and training targeted at	non-profits/higher
	Anticipated that	small private businesses	learning inst/tribes
*APPLICATIONS DUE	approximately 135K will	with less than 1 million	
APRIL 28 <sup>th</sup>	be awarded to AK this	in gross revenues. Ex.	
	year with average of 50K	Business plan dev., seed	
	each	\$ for establishment of	
		revolving loan funds	
Value added producer	1 to 1 match grant	Planning, feasibility or	Private
grant		working capital grant to	Harvester/Producers
		support generating new	
DTCD/D : 1		products/markets	A .: C
RTCP/Reimbursement	Partial Reimbursement-	Offset costs of shipping	Aquatic farmer must be
Transportation Cost	capped at 8K	to market	in a geographically
Program		Destroit and total	disadvantaged area (AK)
Non-insured crop	Insurance	Protects against yield	Farmers
disaster		losses (not quality) due to natural disaster-	
Forms Charges Facility	Loop up to FOOK		Forms / Hatabarias
Farm Storage Facility	Loan up to 500K	Very low interest for	Farms/Hatcheries
		coolers/refrigerators	
		etc.	
Farm Service Agency	Loan Max 300K	Beginning	For profit

Loan	Guaranteed Loan max	Farmers/those in need	Farms/Hatcheries
	1.39 million	of guarantee for	
		traditional lending or	
		additional support	
Micro Loan	Less than 50K	Flexible	For profit
		terms/adjustable	farms/hatcheries
		payments. Can be used	
		for operating capital	

Group discussed whether hatcheries were eligible for the Community Facilities program. This program offers loans/grants for essential community facilities in communities with populations less than 20,000. The agency needs to determine whether hatcheries would constitute an essential facility. Renee suggested that interested stakeholders send an inquiry email to the agency to initiate a formal response/determination regarding hatcheries.

Other potential funding opportunities that were briefly discussed and that will be expanded on in future meetings include: venture capital, traditional lenders, CFAB, USDA Rural Development American Indian and Alaska Native Programs, co-op structures and industry/nonprofit revolving loan funds

## **Discussion of Existing Barriers/Challenges**:

Business Development and Scale: Group discussed that a significant limitation with existing growers may be a lack of business experience, and that the industry needs sufficient resources to build a stronger business acumen. New entrants have been challenged with developing the business plans that are necessary in applying for financing. The group also discussed that growers could benefit from advancing past viewing mariculture as a lifestyle profession and while small scale operations play a significant role, we need to begin thinking bigger to achieve critical economies of scale.

Labor costs: There are very few operations using labor saving equipment like optical sorting machines because of the high initial expense. Labor costs are very large, as are the initial investments in labor saving machinery. Alaska's remote coastal communities also have unique problems with access to an adequate labor force and suffer from the increased costs associated with supporting human labor, such as housing and transportation. These challenges may emphasize the need to investigate collaborative business opportunities with existing seafood operations.

**Legislative Update** on HB 76 and HB 128 provided by Julie. The first hearing occurred in House Fisheries on 3/7/2016. A CS was introduced the morning of the hearing and there were a lot of questions from committee members regarding changes to the revolving loan fund. Another hearing is scheduled for March 14<sup>th</sup>; Julie and others will be working with committee members and staff to provide information and offer clarification on the next CS. Letters of support are encouraged.

#### Infrastructure Discussion:

Members discussed the idea of creating an interactive map targeted at potential investors as a recommendation from the I&I AC. This map could serve as a more comprehensive product than the fact sheet that the AC originally discussed. Data inputs could include resource and social/demographic information such as; bathymetry, PSP information, growth rates, water temp/acidification information etc. Members mentioned that some of this information existed on ADFG's website and may be easy to access. NOAA GIS staff have also expressed interest in helping to collect resource inputs. Demographic information could include; existing seafood processing plants and hatcheries, existing mariculture operations, transportation and freight availability, population, identification of potential conflicting user groups/fisheries as well as complimentary fisheries/operations, fleet composition, ownership information etc.

The site could also include a comprehensive list of funding opportunities and links to various agencies.

The group briefly discussed the upcoming SeaGrant opportunity and potentially utilizing graduate students to help in developing and managing a future proposal/project.

Members also discussed the important gap in commercial seed availability and lack of hatchery support for farmers. The AC agreed to forward/identify this as a recommendation from the I & I committee.

#### **Next Steps:**

- Explore collaborative opportunity with Sea Grant or explore other grant funding for interactive map project
- Send email inquiry to USDA regarding definition of hatcheries as essential community infrastructure
- Discuss recommendation for business literacy training as part of USDA program. Work with Workforce Development AC
- Develop current list of seafood producers in AK. (Markos Scheer)
- Develop lists of social/demographic inputs for interactive map. Ask R&D AC to assist with resource related inputs
- AC will collectively evaluate the existing funding options identified to date and discuss whether
  modifications to various programs should be included as part of recommendations, or whether
  new program/s should be designed for various scales and business models in the industry.
- Jeff and Angel will begin structuring recommendations from AC discussions to circulate